

Minutes GMA Organisation of Study Associations

Date, time: 25th of March 2026, 14:00

Location: LA 2405



Present	Bram Hoernig (OSb 2025-II) Nathan Roussel (OSb 2025-II) Tom Snelder (OSb 2026-I) Ceyda Çelebi (OSb 2025-II) Elin van den Bout (OSb 2025-II) Simone van den Heuvel (Student Union) Ivo Naastepad (UReka) Felix Meesters (C.T.S.G. Alembic) Niek Spriensma (S.A. Astatine) Rasmus Flint (S.A. Atlantis) Lia Reitsema (S.A. Communiqué) Rowan Laaper (ConcepT) Faye Paauwe (ConcepT) Janna Schoenmaker (S.G. Daedalus) Twan Weerdenburg (Authorization I.C.T.S.V. Inter- <i>Actief</i>) Jasper Koot (W.S.G. Isaac Newton) Vyacheslav Pak (H.V. Ockham) Ilse Leeraar (S.V. Paradoks) Andres Mirabal (S.A. Proto) Björn Rienstra (E.T.S.V. Scintilla) Yorinde Hulshof (Sirius) Natalia Bielecka (S.A. Stress) Bram Rutgers (De Twentsche Elfbierentocht)
Chair	Bram Hoernig (OSb 2025-II)
Secretary	Nathan Roussel (OSb 2025-II)



1 Opening

Bram (OSb): I hereby open the March GMA.

The chair opens the General Members Assembly.

14:35

2 Approval of the Agenda

- 5 Any questions? No, then let's go with this agenda.

3 Notifications

3.1 OS Board

No announcements

3.2 OS Committees

- 10 *No announcements*

3.3 Study Associations

No announcements

3.4 Student Union

No announcements

- 15 3.5 University Council Parties

No announcements

3.6 Other

No announcements

4 Minutes

- 20 Are there any questions about the minutes in general?

Niek (Astatine): At some point it says that the UReka will look into getting laptops but it should be looking to getting locks.

The minutes of the GMA of 18-02-2026 are approved.

Decision

5 Action Points

Number	Action point	Status
GMA July.01	SU Look into paying out committee members for StOF individually for committee grants instead of the boards	Pending



6 Drinks pricing proposal

25

Bram (OSb): It is document number 4, we have already have questions about this document. Are there any questions about this right now?

Bram (11BT): The first point is that you used the 11BT to keep the prices low, we don't think that this applies here because it is an activity for all people not just the board members.

Faye (ConcepT): I have a few comments, the first one about the proposal, the first line says that every association strives for the lowest prices but this is vague and every association could do their own calculations. This should be discussed directly with the drinking pubs. 30

Bram (OSb): We purposefully made it vague such that we do not have to contact all bartending committees.

Jasper (Newton): Would it be an idea to have a meeting with the chair of the drinking pubs such that we can come to a conclusion. 35

Bram (OSb): We make sure not to mention internal pricing since some associations make a loss on internal prices. It is not necessarily the pubs that make the prices, we would rather work this out with the boards of the study association since they have power.

Twan (Inter-Actief): This has been a discussion for the past 5 years now, and it seems like the conclusion is that it is hard to come up with a price directly since everyone has their own way of doing it. Like Inter-Actief we consider OS to be a friendly party and charge that price. 40

Nathan (OSb): Well then it is still vague as to if you consider OS a friendly party and what the price for a friendly party should be. Should you charge rent? Or ERO/Bartender compensation?

Twan (Inter-Actief): But then it should be discussed with the committees directly how much they consider to be the right amount. 45

Björn (Scintilla): We use a certain formula using purchase price such that everyone has their own price but no one makes a loss.

Faye (ConcepT): Could you not just use this formula then?

Björn (Scintilla): This might not work the same, at scintilla if you pay for everything then you pay purchase price but if you are paying per beer then we have to consider how much loss per beer there is. 50

Twan (Inter-Actief): Well again we have 20% tap loss where as 11BT uses 10%.

Bram (OSb): Would everyone be ok if we came up with a formula for OS drinks?

Twan (Inter-Actief): Well every association pays OS the membership fee, and then OS pays that back to the associations in drinks. Then each association has to organize these after-drinks even though it does not benefit the member directly. 55

Nathan (OSb): The biggest thing is that if the prices of the beer is not clearly stated, then an association can increase their prices, and then OS will increase the membership fee since it is our only real source of income. This is why we want everything to be break even such that OS does not lose money. 60

Björn (Scintilla): As a member and not a board member, why would I pay Inter-Actief for their board to go drinking.

Nathan (OSb): Every association here has external representation budget and some even have monthly board compensation, this is money you are "spending" and don't get to make use of as a member. 65

Minutes GMA Organisation of Study Associations

Date, time: 25th of March 2026, 14:00

Location: LA 2405



Faye (ConceptT): This should then be clear in the document, why should this price be as low as possible and why should it not be higher. In short, where does OS fall on the scale of how much should be paid.

70 Bram (OSb): This is a question to you guys, how much do you want to be paid.

Faye (ConceptT): This is the point of view of Concept, members make use of a drink so it is internal pricing, but OS is not so we charge slightly higher. Or another argument could be that OS helps integration which helps the members indirectly. I think that should be mentioned in the document too.

75 Ceyda (OSb): Another point is that we will go to the associations that have the lowest prices, and this will mean that we will burden these associations more with having to organize these drinks more often. I think the premise of this is where do we fall between a drink for your members and a faculty drink.

Faye (ConceptT): I agree that OS should fall closer to members than faculty.

80 Bram (OSb): So to conclude everyone agrees that we should add in to the document why the beer prices should be lower and then an additional clause for how the price should be determined per location.

Twan (Inter-Actief): So by lower do you mean that the price should be lower than purchase price or lower than external.

85 Bram (OSb): We will determine that per association, but lower than external.

Faye (ConceptT): I don't think this meeting will take much time since it is one meeting with the chairs and make it clear what the rule will be to calculate.

Bram (OSb): As was said earlier it will be very hard to get all committees in one spot at the same time.

90 Twan (Inter-Actief): I agree, everyone in one spot is unrealistic. But I do think that everyone committee should have a say.

Bram (OSb): We would rather not go for the direct approach since that will end up being a lot of work.

95 Twan (Inter-Actief): But if it hasn't happened in the past 5 years I doubt that it will happen with the emails.

Ceyda (OSb): We are part-time board members and don't have the time to organize 20 meetings for the prices. Also this meeting is just a GMA, you all have the power to determine this price of the beers because you are in control of the association. So organizing another meeting just to get done what we could do here is a waste of time.

100 Faye (ConceptT): I agree, then just make a proposal and send it out and make sure all the comments of the committees are worked out before it comes to the GMA.

Bram (OSb): Then would it work that we improve this proposal?

Felix (Alembic): Can you not just say no-one makes profit and go with that?

105 Nathan (OSb): The hard part is that everyone has a different way of determining how to maintain their drinking rooms, some charge rent some include it in the beer price.

Bram (OSb): So we will write a proposal with a clear reason as to why the prices should be low as well as a formula to figure out how to get it so low.



Björn (Scintilla): There have been a few After-GMA drinks that were cancelled, and I would like to mention that every association should have the same weight of responsibility on this. But if they get cancelled then I find it unfair. So there should be some sort of penalty for not organizing a drink. 110

Bram (OSb): I think that that should be possible to implement in a proposal but maybe not in the current one. We will come up with this proposal about prices before the next GMA.

7 Open Discussion

7.1 STACOS Options

115

Nathan (OSb): I have been having a lot of back and fourth with UFonds about STACOS funding, and every time I get the response of have you looked at something else. The last thing that they recommended would be going to a website like cheaptickets.com or booking.com. Would associations be ok with that? And would the restrictions that come with these sponsors offset the sponsorship amount we would get? Which is around 150 to 300, 300 being a best case. 120

Twan (Inter-Actief): Would it be reasonable for one company to be able to sponsor all of the study associations. Nathan (OSb): Currently we have a reserve of approximately 40-50k that we are slowly using up, we are using around 20 to 30k per year. So if a company is able to add in around 20k a year that can sustain us for a long time.

Nathan (OSb): It is also purely speculative, as I haven't yet went to any companies. But I wanted to check with you guys before I get into conversation with them. 125

Felix (Alembic): How feasible is it that OS picks up the external affairs role in that sense?

Nathan (OSb): Not really if it is every year having to contact many companies. But if it is just this once getting a long contract then it is definitely worth it.

Natalia (Stress): We do have the sponsor as well and this is a warning for other association that if a participant is not able to make it then the booking is a problem since it is harder to manage through these booking agencies. The money might be nice but it also costs time. 130

Bram (OSb): Is everyone ok with us looking into this?

Action point *All Study Associations Ask you study trip committees if they would be OK with a sponsor like Booking.com or Cheaptickets. (deadline Next GMA)* 135

Ceyda (OSb): Is it also possible that if STACOS gets the sponsor that associations are able to opt out of just the booking part of it and still get the UFonds part?

Nathan (OSb): I doubt it. Currently with UFonds it is either follow all their regulations or don't get anything even though a majority of the money comes from our bank account.

7.2 FOCOS

140

Tom (OSb): We wanted to discuss the sign-ups for big events since some associations are struggling with the sign-ups. Are people interested?

People are interested

Tom (OSb): It will be on the 9th of April at 14:00.

Bram (OSb): Does anybody have any more points for open discussion? 145



8 Upcoming Topics

Bram (OSb): We don't really have any but don't forget to do your FOBOS sign-ups and to come to the after-gma drink since Tom (OSb) will chug a beer.

9 Any Other Business

150 Bram (OSb): Any other business?

Natalia (Stress): In the final FOBOS distribution it was unclear what is 1 grant worth in terms of hours?

Bram (OSb): It is 476 hours and it is rounded down.

Natalia (Stress): Last question if you have left-overs you should not request right?

155 Bram (OSb): Correct.

Niek (Astatine): How big is one grant?

Nathan (OSb): Around 208 euros since it is approximately tuition fee divided by 12.

10 Résumé Action Points and Decisions

Bram (OSb): Nathan (OSb), Can I get a resume of action points.

160 10.1 Résumé Action Points

Number	Action point	Deadline
GMA July.01	SU Look into paying out committee members for StOF individually for committee grants instead of the boards	Next GMA
GMA March.01	All Study Associations Ask you study trip committees if they would be OK with a sponsor like Booking.com or Cheaptickets. (page 5)	

10.2 Résumé Decisions

- **GMA March.01** The minutes of the GMA of 18-02-2026 are approved. (page 2)

Bram Hoernig (OSb 2025-II) closes this General Members Assembly.

15:10