



FOBOS Distribution 2025

Organisation of Study Associations | Document 202511.03

Preface

The ABC has received all proofs for FOBOS grants from the study associations' boards. These proofs were evaluated in accordance with the OS FOBOS Model (OSFM), with the committee striving to approve as many proofs as possible. While this was successful in many cases, some proofs were rejected due to non-compliance with the OSFM or general FOBOS rules.

The OS Board will use the divisions outlined in this document during negotiations with other umbrella organizations, following the procedure specified in the OSFM. Please note that the divisions approved by the OS GMA may be subject to changes during negotiations with other umbrellas and the Student Union.

The numbers outlined in red indicate numbers that were changed by the ABC after submitting the proofs. This was done either in agreement with the respective association, or unilaterally by the ABC based on the OSFM or general FOBOS rules.

As this is the last FOBOS cycle before switching to StOF, we will not go into detail on our observations and recommendations here, as they are only relevant for FOBOS.

While most proofs have been included in the provisional distribution, some were declined. A summary of these rejections and their justifications is provided in Table 6. For questions regarding this document, please contact either Leon (ctw@os.utwente.nl) or Nathan (secretaris@os.utwente.nl)

Small boards

The larger associations received board grants under a separate category within FOBOS. For the smaller associations, grants are requested under E&I. An overview is visible in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Provisional distribution of activism grants Small Boards, 2025

Association	Board Members	Number of weeks active	Hours per week	Number of hours
Ideefiks	5	48	10	2400
Komma	5	48	6,5	1520
Onwijs	5	48	11	2640

Boards Extra

For every month a full-time board has more than five board members, they are eligible for extra grants. Some associations have only had six or more board members for a part of the year, so they only receive extra grants for those months. An overview is visible in Table 2 below.

Table 2: Provisional distribution of activism grants Boards Extra, 2025

Association	Extra Grants
Alembic	12
Arago	8
Astatine	8
Atlantis	1
Daedalus	1
Dimensie	8
Inter-Actief	4
Newton	22
Proto	8
Sirius	8
Stress	4

Must

The following table shows an overview of the committees that are accepted by the ABC committee in the 'Must' category, following the OSFM. An overview is visible in Table 3 below.

Table 3: Provisional distribution of activism grants Must, 2025

Association	Committee	Members	Number of weeks	Hours per week	Number of hours
Abacus	Symposium	6	40	2,8	678
Alembic	Study Trip Committee	5	82	3,4	1391
Arago	Symposium	6	30	3,6	642
Arago	Congres 2025	6	55	2,8	822
Astatine	Symposium	8	32	3,5	784
ConcepT	Symposium	6	50	2,95	885
Dimensie	Symposium	7	56	2,11	709
Inter-Actief	Symposium	5	35	3	525
Newton	Symposium	6	40	4,7	1120
Paradoks	Symposium	7	28	3,93	771
Paradoks	Study Tour 2026	6	55	12,59	4157
Sirius	Symposium	4	20	3,3	356
Stress	Study Tour 2026	4	52	5,7	1184
Stress	Symposium	7	39	5,9	1610

Should

The following table shows an overview of the committees that are accepted by the ABC committee in the 'Should' category, following the OSFM.

Table 4: Provisional distribution of activism grants Should, 2025

Association	Committee	Members	Number of weeks	Hours per week	Number of hours
Alembic	LuCie	6	105	2,4	1512
Astatine	BuCom	7	34	2,9	683
Arago	Europareis 2025	3	47	4,2	486
Arago	Na-Intro	6	27	2,7	438
Communique	Lustrum Committee	9	88	2.07	1638
ConcepT	BuLa	6	35	3,1	651
ConcepT	Lustrum Committee	6	48	3,61	1047
ConcepT	ERCO	5	57	3,44	980
Daedalus	CampCom	6	33	2,24	445
Daedalus	External Committee	5	48	2,5	600
Newton	Lustrum Committee	10	74	3,9	2680
Newton	FYW	5	35	2,3	410
Ockham	LuCie	6	68	3,46	1412
Proto	CampCo	8	31	4,5	733
Scintilla	External Committee	6	44	3	792

Could

The following table shows an overview of the committees that are accepted by the ABC committee in the 'Could' category, following the OSFM.

Table 5: Provisional distribution of activism grants Could, 2025

Association	Committee	Members	Number of weeks	Hours per week	Number of hours
Astatine	ITCo	4 to 8	51	3,5	836
Inter-Actief	Pandora	6	24	5,4	771
Komma	Educational Committee	3	48	3,5	504
Komma	Professional Development Committee	3	48	4,5	648
Newton	ExcurCie	6	42	2	540
Scintilla	DIGI	6	46	3,5	966
Scintilla	STUDI	10	42	2	840
Sirius	Business Committee	3	34	3,6	366
Stress	Educational Committee	6	40	3	768
Stress	SITcom	6	40	2,5	600
Stress	External Affairs Committee	6	40	2,5	600

Denied

The following claims have been declined by the ABC. If the requesting association does not agree with a rejection, they may appeal this at the OS-GMA.

Table 6: Denied FOBOS applications in the proof phase, 2025

Association	Committee	Reason
Alembic	ASO	Does not meet the minimum number of hours per week per committee member (>2hrs/week/member)
Astatine	EduCo	Not included in proofs
Communique	Europe Trip Committee	Insufficient substantive activities according to OSFM Article 9.4
Daedalus	Partnership	Committee/Activity was cancelled
Dimensie	Europe Trip	Committee/Activity was cancelled
Newton	Lustrum TripCie	Committee/Activity was cancelled
Newton	CompuCie	Retracted its FOBOS application
Sirius	ExCie MST	Does not meet the minimum number of total hours (>320hrs)