

Minutes GMA Organisation of Study Associations

Date, time: 30th of October 2025, 15:00

Location: Hoorst Tower 700B



Present Bram Hoernig (OSb 2025-II)
Nathan Roussel (OSb 2025-II)
Leon Slootman (OSb 2025-II)
Ceyda Çelebi (OSb 2025-II)
Victor Barkey (OSb 2025-II)
Elin van den Bout (OSb 2025-II)
Heleen de Vos (Student Union)
Bjorn Siersema (Student Union Raad van Toezicht)
Ivo Naastepad (UREka)
Feike Harmsen (W.S.G. Abacus)
Felix Meesters (C.T.S.G. Alembic)
Gijs Frijters (S.V. Arago)
Niek Spriensma (S.A. Astatine)
Lia Reitsema (S.A. Communiqué)
Jordy Koster (S.G. Daedalus)
Nathan Palsma (S.G. Daedalus KKB)
Tim Kramer (S.G. Daedalus KKB)
Aro Donk (S.G. Daedalus KKB)
Jessie Dickson (S.V. Dimensie)
Jeske van Hijum (I.C.T.S.V. Inter-*Actief*)
Berentio van der Kamp (W.S.G. Isaac Newton)
Vyacheslav Pak (H.V. Ockham)
Ilse Leeraar (S.V. Paradoks)
Sancha van Spil (S.A. Proto)
Björn Reinstra (E.T.S.V. Scintilla)
Yorinde Hulshof (Sirius)
Bram Willemsen (Sirius)
Thiemo Tenhage (Sirius)
Taila Drenthen (Sirius)
Natalia Bielecka (S.A. Stress)
Chair Bram Hoernig (OSb 2025-II)
Secretary Nathan Roussel (OSb 2025-II)



1 Opening

Thank you to some of you for dressing up Bram (OSb): I'll open it at 15:04.

The chair opens the General Members Assembly.

15:04

Grammar mistakes and such will not be discussed during the GMA, you can send those to the secretary via email.

2 Setting the agenda

Bram (OSb): Any alterations to the agenda?

The agenda is adopted without changes.

3 Notifications

10 3.1 OS Board

No announcements

3.2 OS Committees

No announcements

3.3 Study Associations

15 Jordy (Daedalus): I have three KKBers with me, I don't think they want to introduce themselves. There is some work to be done.

Bram (OSb): If they want to they can.

3.4 Student Union

20 Bjorn (SU RvT): I have a presentation. Hello everyone, I am Bjorn (SU RvT). I am currently the part of the supervisory board, there is one person responsible for the educational body, this person is chosen at the OS GMA. I can hopefully convince one of you to do this position.

The structure of the student union as a whole is not so easy, as it comprises of a lot of bodies. The supervisory board is a task giver to the executive board of SU which you interact in your day to day life.

25 The supervisory board is made up of 4 students and 4 non-students. These people are chosen at the PCM. One of which are picked at the OS GMA. Of the four student members, all of them are from different sectors; study, culture, social, and sport. From the non-student members, there is one for internationalization, one from the University of Twente, one for entrepreneurship, and an external one. The external one is the accountant which is responsible for the finances
30 of the University.

In a nutshell, they appoint and dismiss the executive board of the SU, they approve and reject the annual report, policy and the budget of the SU. They also advise the Student Union board on discussions and plans. There are meetings every 6 weeks where they discuss how things are going, or if there are any problems with the SU and its members. All these people are very
35 necessary to have a good foundation so I hope I might have convinced one of you.

I hope I have interested you please contact me at my email address b.siersema@student.utwente.nl.



3.5 University Council Parties

Ivo (UReka): If you have not yet distributed the survey from the University council please do. Next week at we have the first constituency meeting, so if some of you could show up that would be nice to get some of your inputs. If you have not received the E-Mail please come to me after the GMA. 40

3.6 Other

3.7 Minutes GMA 25-09-2025 (Document 20252509.2)

4 Minutes

Bram (OSb): Can everyone indicate if they have read the minutes. Enough to look through them. Are there any questions about the minutes as a whole. No? Then we can go straight to accepting them. 45

Decision *The minutes of the GMA of 25-09-2025 are approved with the proposed changes.*

5 To-do List

Number	Action point	Status
GMA July.01	SU Look into paying out committee members for StOF individually for committee grants instead of the boards	Pending

6 StOF Part-time non-eu board members 50

Bram (OSb): I will give the word to Leon (OSb).

Leon (OSb): Last GMA we promised we would try to get more compensation for Non-EU / EEA part time board members as now they do not receive a fair amount of compensation. We discussed with the SU to get them more waivers, unfortunately that cannot happen since this is up to the University and they said no. We also looked into the six month waiver to extend it to the entire year, unfortunately by Dutch law we are not allowed to give 12 month waivers to full time non-eu board members. With those two factors they do not have any more methods for increasing the compensation for non-EU. CSE will look into the Dutch legislation to see if there is anything they can do about it for next year. There is however one other option for us to extend the compensation. That would be by taking the grants from committees and giving them to board members. We think this is a big decision so we want you to think about this over the month and we will discuss this at the next GMA. Also we want to check how many non-eu board members there are in total so we can asses the feasibility of this plan. 55

Gijs (Arago): Do you already have a plan on how you would distribute the grants. 60

Leon (OSb): No not yet because we don't know how many people we are talking about, the plan depends on the amount of board members. 65

Victor (OSb): This is only for the non-EU part time board members, for the non-EU full time board members, we cannot give grants on top of the waiver.

Leon (OSb): If there are no more questions, we will make this decision at the November GMA. I will remind you of this via EMail. 70



Bram (OSb): Thank you and then we will continue to OSSA.

7 OSSA

Bram (OSb): for this I will give the word back to Leon (OSb).

75 Leon (OSb): You have all seen the OSSA the document that will replace the OSFM. I propose w go through this section by section. Did many people read through the document? Yes ok that is quite a few.

Berentio (Newton): Because we had in our pre-meet, we want it also be here in the minutes or are you gonna change them?

80 Leon (OSb): I am not just going to change them, we discussed quite a few at the premeet but most were quite textual. Let's go through the document article by article. Any points about article 1? Article 2? Article 3? 4?

85 Björn (Scintilla): I had a question about 2.C. It says that board tasks focused committees cannot organize activities during the year. If you look at Scintilla we had a committee that took over the task of Educational, but they also organized a lottery at the end of the year. Can they not do that anymore? Or should we create a separate committee?

Leon (OSb): Now that I think of it I think maybe its nice to take this out of OSSA, like External relation committees can organise lunch lectures, it might be good to scrap article 2.C.

Elin (OSb): Or you can scrap it, or you can change it to activities that are linked to the committees.

90 Vycheslav (Ockham): Point three about the study tours, in general one of my committees are planning a study trip, but its in the early stages likely will happen in may 2026, its just their plan, would I need to sign them up for StOF.

Leon (OSb): You said May of 2026?

Vycheslav (Ockham): No specific plan yet.

95 Leon (OSb): I would sign up if you think it is going to take place, we will check later in the year if the committee even still exists. I would say sign-up anyway.

Lia (Communiqué): I was worried about point 4.D. Symposium should happen at one specific location? How is this defined? Does it happening on the UT campus count?

100 Leon (OSb): so we took this criteria straight from OSFM, this was put there to prevent business fairs to qualify for symposiums. So if otherwise its fine it would qualify.

Björn (Scintilla): 2.C, The task cannot finish within a month. What if it is only the sub task? Would this qualify or not qualify?

105 Leon (OSb): We thought of excluding things like promotion committees, which could be done in under a month, whereas other board responsible things that would otherwise take more time and responsibility.

Björn (Scintilla): So if promotion is only part of the task then it is fine, and if it is the main focus it isn't. Another questions about 5.A, for the lustrum it says that it has to be study related, but a big party is not study related, does this then still count?

110 Victor (OSb): The main purpose of the lustrum committees is to stay within the boundaries itself, sure parties can be organised next to it and it counts towards the hours that count but they should also organise events that link to their study.

Minutes GMA Organisation of Study Associations

Date, time: 30th of October 2025, 15:00

Location: Hoorst Tower 700B



Björn (Scintilla): Why should they organize an event that links to the study?

Berentio (Newton): When is something related to the study? We had a smithing workshop would that then count? Or would it have to be like a lecture.

Leon (OSb): it is a bit of a vague criteria, I would qualify those as study related, I think its 115 hard to draw a set line.

Victor (OSb): As long as you are able to defend how it is linked to your study, you can consider it to be about your study.

Björn (Scintilla): Is it really necessary all the major activities are study related, because our lustrum committee is doing a lot of activities but I can maybe think of one that is study related, 120 not really that many. then maybe is this good?

Leon (OSb): True, what does the GMA think of this.

Felix (Alembic): Is it a good idea to have a number of activities related to the study?

Leon (OSb): Yeah maybe something.

Felix (Alembic): One or two, Looking at our lustrum, we had a alumni day but that is not per 125 se study related.

Jordy (Daedalus): I Think one is fine.

Taila (Sirius): It has to be an all day program. How strict is that?

Leon (OSb): So maybe first finish the study related part discussion about the previous point. Maybe it is a proposal to change article 5.5.a. to change it to organize activities about the 130 association and at least one about the study?

Gijs (Arago): Why one? You could also just ignore it.

Jordy (Daedalus): I don't think association related activity has to be in there it is too vague. I think it is nice if there was at least one study related activity it is not that hard to just do one small lunch lecture. 135

Leon (OSb): The purpose of the committee is to then organise substantial activities and at least one study related activity.

Taila (Sirius): Back to 4.E. It says that if there is a full all day program, we are doing two half days, how strict is it for it to be a full day, we are in total doing a day but over two days.

Leon (OSb): I would say that two half days would qualify as one right? 140

Elin (OSb): If you are doing it as a part-time board, then it is not committee in StOF.

Taila (Sirius): We are doing it with the committee.

Leon (OSb): Then the committee meets all of the criteria then right? If it is two half days.

Taila (Sirius): Yes I think so, we are doing it with the committee.

Leon (OSb): The board is contributing to the activity. 145

Jordy (Daedalus): Maybe rephrasing from all-day to full day would solve this.

Leon (OSb): Good idea. Any more points for Article 5.

Berentio (Newton): For 7, the 4 different committee type, for the study tour you can have a maximum number of grants of 2. Lets say there are 5 grants left for study tours, but 3 applicable study tours. How would the grants be divided. You would have to give one of the committees 150 one.

Minutes GMA Organisation of Study Associations

Date, time: 30th of October 2025, 15:00

Location: Hoorst Tower 700B



Leon (OSb): We discussed this at the pre-meet. The way it is currently written in this scenario, lets say 5 grants, 3 committees, currently it would be one committee with 3 grants. Or we could look into the number of hours and split it 2:2:1.

155 Felix (Alembic): I think its fair to go for the 2:2:1 division then.

Björn (Scintilla): I agree.

Leon (OSb): If everyone agrees, I propose we will take this as a change.

Bram (OSb): Should we reapprove this document?

Leon (OSb): Any questions about article: 6, 7?

160 Jordy (Daedalus): For article 6. We kind of discussed this but do people understand E-F?

Leon (OSb): We said maybe we should rewrite this clearer to express what is meant. We meant that if you worked from home you should still get grants.

Björn (Scintilla): Is it necessary to mention the function specific tasks need to be done at the UT or outside of the UT, it doesn't / shouldn't matter right?

165 Victor (OSb): The main purpose is that is to preferably have board related tasks stay at the UT, as opposed to taking board tasks back home. We did this for mental health reasons but if you find it unnecessary we can remove it.

Jordy (Daedalus): I think so and the added benefit is it helps the part-time-ers so I think it is a good addition

170 Leon (OSb): Yep.

Sancha (Proto): 2.A: In B it says that doing 30+ hours is not doing study on the side, but my board members are doing 30+ hours and managing to do some ECs on the side.

Leon (OSb): This is a bit of a generalisation, if you put 30+ hours in and still manage to get ECs on the side, you are still considered a full-time board member

175 Vyacheslav (Ockham): Point B: There is some studying on the side, all my part-time but we are studying on the side, but I feel we are doing enough hours.

Leon (OSb): The names we had to come up for the categories, if it does not fit you specifically, its just the name, as long as the workload of board fits you are still in that category. 6.3.E and F. We should just rewrite the sentence a bit.

180 Natalia (Stress): I think it would be best to rephrase the 30+ hours to have board has priority and not study, and for the next the study and board have equal priority. I know that my predecessor wrote a letter to OS to say how it could maybe be better.

Niek (Astatine): But now we are just going to FOBOS but without proofs.

185 Nathan (OSb): The purpose of the hours are to give you an idea of what category you fall into, some people will not be studying on the side but still fall into the part-time board member because they have other stuff on the side. We cannot address every category.

Lia (Communiqué): When we were discussing this before summer, it was the purpose to just have descriptions and not necessarily strict guidelines, and that the hours are leading. I think this is fine.

190 Leon (OSb): Then I think we can move on to article 7, article 8?

Niek (Astatine): EEA and EER are being used interchangeably.



Leon (OSb): That is textual we will fix this later.

Vycheslav (Ockham): If you are non-EEA you get the same number of grants right?

Leon (OSb): They will get the same number of grants but they are paying the non-EU tuition.

Berentio (Newton): We are not giving full-time non-EU any grants right? 195

Leon (OSb): You mean they are not compensated using grants right?

Berentio (Newton): Has that also been taken into account when doing the calculations.

Leon (OSb): We are talking about one person from stress. So it is not that much. Anything else about article 8? then article 9?

Björn (Scintilla): In case that the applicant it violates privacy regulations. Does that mean the 200 person applying or the committee. So they should make sure that all the privacy violations are removed before handing it in.

Leon (OSb): Exactly. Anything else on article 9? Then article 10?

Berentio (Newton): 10.2: shouldn't there also be in the list a number of committee members?

Leon (OSb): Yeah it can be added it is not really relevant for the number of grants but if you 205 prefer to add it, I guess.

Victor (OSb): This is for applying the committee for StOF, you might not know the number of members, it also doesn't matter for the amount of grants you will get. When you look at article 14, we are asking for committee member numbers so article 10 is the estimation.

Vycheslav (Ockham): It says that personal details when submitting the proofs: not enough 210 legal basis to do so. We had asked to share the information and they said yes. Would that still breach GDPR?

Leon (OSb): We follow GDPR and I am not fully familiar with it. As far as I understand it is still a violation.

Nathan (OSb): It depends on what they agreed on, if they agreed that you share their names 215 regardless of whatsoever then sure, but if they agreed to within your study association then it is not fine.

Berentio (Newton): 10.5. They are still expected to submit content. Does that also include the educational content?

Leon (OSb): What we meant was what the committee will do, like what they are supposed to 220 do.

Berentio (Newton): Because in previous points it was mentioned that it should have educational relevance. So maybe a good point to mention it here too.

Leon (OSb): You mean educational relevance the study related thing, article 5.5.4.

Leon (OSb): We are asking for content of the committee, so if that it includes the educational 225 content then submit that.

Berentio (Newton): Maybe also touch why its relevant so we don't have to discuss it at the GMA.

Lia (Communiqué): I would like to go back to 9.2 about sharing photos and names even if they have given consent. I would like to advise to not give anything even if you had consent. Since 230 then there is no need to check if the consent was given and if it was given correctly.

Minutes GMA Organisation of Study Associations

Date, time: 30th of October 2025, 15:00

Location: Hoorst Tower 700B



Leon (OSb): That's a good tip for the chair people to always exclude any name. Anything else on article 9 or 10? Then article 11?

235 Berentio (Newton): 11.3 In the January GMA that the proposal is presented then, but that is not in the timeline so maybe at it to the timeline.

Leon (OSb): good point it should be in the time line, anything else on article 11? then 12, 13. article 14.

Felix (Alembic): It is really nice to submit the proofs, but there is no ABC so who will do this?

240 Leon (OSb): the ABC will not do this anymore because we thought its not enough to check. We found that keeping them around for just this is not worth it, it would be much easier if we did it ourselves.

Berentio (Newton): 14.2.f and d, d there's number off committee members and then f there's size of committee mentioned so that's double

245 Berentio (Newton): 14.5 The whole thing. Is it then that on June 1st, is it that any activity happening after June 1st does it go to the next cycle.

Leon (OSb): We discussed this last GMA that after the deadline everything goes to the next cycle.

Berentio (Newton): Can you state that more clearly then

Felix (Alembic): Why was this chosen.

250 Leon (OSb): Because it runs every academic year not every calendar year

Lia (Communiqué): I was not there last GMA. Is it not an idea to have that deadline at the end of the academic year? Because it would also be the end of the year for everyone else.

255 Leon (OSb): Well this date was chosen for the proofs, because then OS has time to check the proofs and be ready. If the deadline is July there is no way of doing the distribution before the end of the year.

Lia (Communiqué): That is not what is happening with FOBOS at the moment right? You have the chairperson doing the proofs for the request done by the previous person. I think it would make more sense if it was on July 31st. Just for the study association side of things. I get that it is harder for OS. The next year you would have to look back at a whole year.

260 Leon (OSb): While that's true I don't think its feasible to have the cut-off time at the end of June

Nathan (OSb): Lets say you have an event in September, you can apply for it in the next cycle. I don't think its that big of a hassle but it makes a big different for OS.

265 Berentio (Newton): If a lustrum is between the two, then just ask at the OS GMA what you want to do. If you want it in the previous cycle or the next one.

Leon (OSb): If you think that stuff like this should be changed, then we can discuss this in a later GMA.

270 Berentio (Newton): Just a question about 15.3. It is stated that the workloads are averaged over the whole board year. What if someone gets a burn-out for lets say 2 months. Are you taking away the 2 months from the average.

Leon (OSb): That's a good point, how we figured this would work is that when someone drops out halfway through the board year, then we would average their hours. So if you did 40hours



per week in the first half, and 0 in the second half, that's on average 20 hours per week over the whole year.

Leon (OSb): It says that we can deviate, oh it's only about article 5, but then that would mean 275 that we can change it if somebody had an injury that they do not lose out on FOBOS. We don't want to make this black and white.

Niek (Astatine): In 16.3. Is this study association board or OS

15:54 *Ceyda (OSb) Taila (Sirius) leaves*

Berentio (Newton): What did you say? 280

Leon (OSb): That on 16.3 that the board is the Study association board and not OS board.

Berentio (Newton): Ok then should we meet with the Student union or should we do it at the OS GMA.

Leon (OSb): That's what the rest of article 16 is about if no agreement is reached, then you can always discuss it with the student union on a separate occasion. 285

Berentio (Newton): Ye ok, could we also just not vote on it and the decision making goes to OS? And that OS throws out a vote on this.

Leon (OSb): You mean for voting for a final distribution? Questions about 16, 17.

Niek (Astatine): It mentions article 45, I think I'm missing a few sections.

Leon (OSb): It should be 14. Anything else. Anything about 18, 19. 290

Berentio (Newton): On the last thing on article 5, it should be left out.

Leon (OSb): Yes you're right it should be left out. Any general questions?

Berentio (Newton): I don't think you need the ABC in the beginning.

Bram (OSb): If there are no other comments, and no one objects

Decision *OSSA Is accept with changes* 295

8 STACOS

Nathan (OSb): In our year there are reserves, we are currently organizing a meeting with Provincie Overijssel to try and get more subsidies, which could increase it for another 5 years. More than next year is unsure, but you guys can request it. It is decisive that there are enough funds for next year. 300

Lia (Communiqué): Do you already know when the meeting is? We would like some updates.

Nathan (OSb): I don't know a date for the meeting.

Heleen (SU): There is no date yet.

9 ERO Update

Bram (OSb): Then we can continue to the ERO update, so you can ignore the last two paragraphs. Are there any questions about the document? 305

Björn (Scintilla): There will be a meeting held to discuss the number of EROs divided. Does that mean that there will be meeting 4 times a year and that the distribution would change 4 times.



310 *Elin (OSb) Leaves*

16:00

Heleen (SU): I actually don't know. My other board member knows more about this.

Nathan (OSb): I'm assuming it is not changing the distribution, just an evaluation moments.

Lia (Communiqué): 4 times per year seems excessive, 2 should be enough.

315 Bram (OSb): I think those meetings are mainly to see how the groupchats and trading of courses are going. Moreover, I think because it is the first year to see how it is going.

Björn (Scintilla): I have another questions, this starts on the 1st January, does this mean that the allotment starts on the beginning of the calendar year each time.

Heleen (SU): It will now be transitioned to calendar years. So this is a transition year from 2024

320 *Elin (OSb) enters*

16:01

Bram (OSb): We were on academic year. Joep changed it to calendar, we are going back to academic next year.

Lia (Communiqué): For verification will you get more EROs if you shift from calendar back too academic.

325 Bram (OSb): This division is only for this half year.

Lia (Communiqué): The same system will be for after that too

Berentio (Newton): This document says that there will be a groupchat where people can exchange EROs. And that we are going to communicate with the OS board instead of with SU?

330 Bram (OSb): That will be done in the meetings with OS, Elise, and the study association representatives.

Berentio (Newton): In the additional information it mentioned guidelines. But I don't quite get what is meant by this.

335 Bram (OSb): Most of this is not final yet. There are some plans in place but it is not certain. Just ignore it.

Berentio (Newton): When do we get information for coming half year?

Bram (OSb): For the coming half year you mean? For this calendar year nothing is changed yet. So then this division is from January-September, and then from September onwards new year.

340 ***All Study Associations Send ERO Representatives to OSb 2025-II (deadline Next GMA)***

Action point

Niek (Astatine): Do they have to be board members

Bram (OSb): Yes

10 Upcoming Topics

Bram (OSb): None as far as I'm aware.



11 Open Discussion

345

Bram (OSb): anything anyone wants to discuss

Vycheslav (Ockham): I was wondering if people knew about the week of inspiration? Raise of hands? No hands? Ah. Can I pitch this?

Vycheslav (Ockham): It is a gift given by the UT to the students. It is the week of the birthday of the uni, with big speakers and free lunch. It is organized with Ockham and student generalium, so if you have any questions ask me. 350

Gijs (Arago): If you have any events you could maybe send a list of events.

Vycheslav (Ockham): I can give the booklets out, there are open lectures for you to come to.

Gijs (Arago): You can send us a promo message and we can send it to our study association groupchats. 355

Bram (OSb): Anything else.

12 Any Other Business

Bram (OSb): Is there than any other business.

Bram (OSb): We would like to make Proto bring snacks.

Sancha (Proto): We do not have the after-drink, the original plan is to go our end of module drink, but that closes at 18:00. So we are crashing the Scintilla drink. 360

Vycheslav (Ockham): Can the ERO rep not be an ERO.

Nathan (OSb): As long as they are a board member.

13 Questions

14 Resumé Action Points and Decisions

365

Bram (OSb): Nathan (OSb), Can I get a resume of action points.

14.1 Resumé Action Points

Number	Action point	Deadline
GMA July.01	SU Look into paying out committee members for StOF individually for committee grants instead of the boards	
GMA October.01	All Study Associations Send ERO Representatives to OSb 2025-II (page 10)	Next GMA

14.2 Resumé Decisions

- **GMA October.01** The minutes of the GMA of 25-09-2025 are approved with the proposed changes. (page 3) 370
- **GMA October.02** OSSA Is accept with changes (page 9)

16:17 *Bram Hoernig (OSb 2025-II) closes this General Members Assembly.*