

Minutes GMA Organisation of Study associations

Date, time: 26 May 2020, 14:00

Location: Jitsi Meet



Present

Jana Bergmann (OSb 2020-I)
Niels Roosenschoon (OSb 2020-I)
Daniela van Meggelen (OSb 2020-I)
Daan Peters (OSb 2020-I)
Rik Seelen (OSb 2020-I)
Ruben Bos (OSb 2020-I)
Lieke Pullen (cOSb 2020-II)
Klara Latta (cOSb 2020-II)
Boudewijn Steenbreker (cOSb 2020-II)
Manouk Ramselaar (Student Union)
Tom van der Meer (De Ambitieuze Student)
Puck Kemper (UREKA)
Linda ten Klooster (W.S.G. Abacus)
Daan no Surname (CB W.S.G. Abacus)
Jeanine ter Horst (C.T.S.G. Alembic)
Gerard Groot Obbink (CB C.T.S.G. Alembic)
Imke Nijenbanning (S.V. Arago)
Meltem Lampe (CB S.V. Arago)
Ward Huijskes (S.A. Astatine)
Jan den Uijl (CB S.A. Astatine)
Joop Arts (S.A. Atlantis)
Alex de Ranitz (CB S.A. Atlantis)
Mira Kumar (S.A. Communiqué)
Koen Rispens (CB S.A. Communiqué)
Lieke van Haastregt (Concept)
Maarten Smit (S.G. Daedalus)
Christine Mulrane (CB Dimensie)
Layla Islam (Dimensie)
Kevin Witlox (I.C.T.S.V. Inter-Actief)
Jelle Maas (CB I.C.T.S.V. Inter-Actief)
Jonne van Haastregt (W.S.G. Isaac Newton)
Seija van Lochem (S.V. Paradoks)
Maartje Huveneers (S.A. Proto)
Imke Verschuren (CB S.A. Proto)
Lars Essenstam (E.T.S.V. Scintilla)

cbOS 2020-II)

Nienke Pleijzier (Sirius)
Brian Egberink (CB Sirius)
Alina Ritter (S.V. Stress)
Jeroen Assink (CB S.V. Stress)
Florian Helfrich (W.T.S. Ideefiks)

Chair

Jana Bergmann (OSb 2020-I)

Secretary

Niels Roosenschoon (OSb 2020-I)



Note from secretary: I did my best to keep the minutes structured. However, it was sometimes hard to keep track of both the chat and of what was being said. As a result, the order of some comments might be a little off. (especially during larger discussion and after questions) I trust these discrepancies have been kept to a minimum, however please feel free to email me if you find any!

1 Opening

Jana (OSb) opens this General Members Assembly.

14:04

Jana (OSb): Please ask any questions in the chat. This makes it easier for us to take minutes. Thanks!

10 2 Setting the agenda

36 present

The agenda is adopted without changes.

2.1 OS board

- OS succession

15 Jana (OSb): It proved to be a really difficult decision. But we are happy to announce that we have formed a candidate board!

picture is shown

Jana (OSb): Lieke, could you please introduce yourself?

20 Lieke (cOSb): My name is Lieke. I was the officer of Internal and Educational Affairs of Paradoks last year. I am the candidate Chairman of 2020-II. I am super excited, I hope it prove to be a great year.

Klara (cOSb): Hi! My name is Klara and I am the current secretary of Atlantis. I am really looking forward to being the candidate secretary/treasurer of the next OS board.

25 Lars (Scintilla): Hello, I am the current chairman of Scintilla. I will be the next EEMCS representative. I am looking forward to next year!

Boudewijn (cOSb): My name is Boudewijn and I hope to be next BMS representative. In my own board I was the secretary and Internal Affairs.

Imke (Arago): Hi! Currently I am the chairman of Arago. I will be the new S and T representative, I look forward to work with you all.

30 Jana (OSb): Lastly, do not get your hopes up, Ruben will stay.

Ruben (OSb): Hahah, thank you Jana!

Jana (OSb): Thank you all for all the congratulations, also on behalf of the CB.

- Committee grants and Corona

35 Jana (OSb): I send an email around. We are still discussing about a system with the SU. I asked all of you to send a preliminary overview. Most of you did so already. We really want to have it before the end of May. Please note that we can probably not resolve all issues this academic year.

Minutes GMA Organisation of Study associations

Date, time: 26 May 2020, 14:00

Location: Jitsi Meet



2.2 OS committees

Rik (OSb): I want to say something on behalf of the UTEA. The UTEA is a yearly teacher award. Normally, there is a great finale in the Waaier, where you can vote as the selected teachers give mini-lectures. This year we will therefore be doing a livestream, this will be on the 16th of June. More promotion will follow. Please be there, because this is how we can show our appreciation to our teachers! 40

2.3 Study associations

Ward (Astatine): We just now had a moment to get to know the CB of OS. I also brought a kandi who would like to introduce himself. 45

Jan (Astatine): My name is Jan, I hope to be the next chairman of Astatine.

Jana (OSb): Linda has the next announcement.

Linda (Abacus): I also brought my kandi:

Daan (CB Abacus): Hi, I am Daan . I am the candidate chairman of Abacus. I am really looking forward to next year! 50

Kevin (Inter-Actief): Of course, there will be a chairman outing this evening. I did not have a lot of inspiration. Luckily, Newton will have a pubquiz that we can join!

Jonne (Newton): We have a discord with different groups and there will be a livestream. Please join! 55

Layla (Dimensie): I also brought a wonderful kandi.

Christine(CB Dimensie): My name is Christine Mulrane. I will also be chairman next year.

Nienke (Sirius): I brought my kandi as well.

Brian (CB Sirius): My name is Brian, I am the candidate chairman of Sirius.

Mira (Communiqué): My kandi will also introduce himself. 60

Koen (CB Communiqué): I am Koen. I am the kandi of communicate. I can't wait to begin, it will be so much fun!

2.4 Student Union

Manouk (SU): I hope you all received the activism monitor email. Please fill this in. I also had a lot of questions from people who did not understand certain questions. Please fill in a 0 if the question does not apply to you, leaving the field empty does not work. The deadline for filling this in is quite soon, namely the 1st of June. 65

2.5 University Council parties

Puck (UReka): I wanna make an announcement that we are discussing FOBOS right now within the University Council. If we consent to it now, we know how much FOBOS will be available. If you have any questions ask me or email fractie@ureka.utwente.nl . My other announcement is that we are looking at how the Kick-In preparations are done. We are wondering if the OKIC committees know what is expected of them right now. 70

Jana (OSb): We will make this a separate agenda point under AOB, if that is okay.

Puck (UReka): One more point, next GMA will be during the new university council elections. So a heads-up: you can vote again for next year! 75

000: DAS Yes this is really important. Please vote!



2.6 Other attendees

3 Minutes

80 Jana (OSb): I will go through it page by page. Please state any remarks if you have them.

Lieke (ConceptT): The attendee list states that Ramon was there but that is a mistake I think.

Nienke (Sirius): There also was another mistake in that list, I have send an email about that.

Niels (OSb): Yes, I saw the email. I will change that after the meeting!

3.1 Minutes GMA 30-04-2020 (Document 20200502)

85 *The minutes of the GMA of 30-04-2020 are approved.*

Decision

4 To-do list

Number	Action point	Status
GMA Nov.01	All associations Discuss sustainability and topics surrounding Corona with your representatives	ongoing

5 Activism Grants Model 2020/21 – for discussion

Jana (OSb): The first document is about the overall model and former category 1,2,3, now classification A, B, C. Explanation about classification D is in a separate document. To answer the question of Ward, I believe, for the study tours it is theoretically possible to apply for two Study Tours a year but it is not preferable. Most changes we made were just some formalities. We tried to be more specific for classification C. What we tried here, in class. C is to make more clear what the difference is between large and small Eurotrips and business courses. There are no changes made to the amount of grants you can receive, just to how we assess it. The guidelines should now be more clear, also definitions are more determined than they were before. Any questions about symposia we can discuss in a minute because Dimensie has a proposal they would like to present to us. Any other questions? Oh, and everything in the document in grey are large changes and everything in black is only things like spelling which was corrected.

6 Dimensie case – Symposia category/classification

100 Jana (OSb): Layla will now present her case.

Layla (Dimensie): Hi everyone. I want to shortly explain what we do before I explain the proposal. This year is the first year we received grants for our career week, which is similar to a symposium. We first organised this week two years ago, we receive a lot of positive feedback each time we organise it. For lot of psychology students it is not clear what they can do after their study. The event follows a lot of requirements of category B. Except the events lasts 5 days and not 1 day. We organise lectures, workshops and evening activities. My proposal is to add this activity to symposium category, because that is only fair in my opinion. It is as much work as any other symposium if not more. Right now it is in a lower category so we are never sure if we receive full grants, therefore it would be nice to move it to a more steady category.

110 Jana (OSb): Any questions?

Alina (Stress): So, it is just a symposium but then 5 days instead of 1?

Layla (Dimensie): No, we have a symposium committee and the career week is a separate committee. It is only this year that our symposium did not take place. Normally, we would have both events separately.

Minutes GMA Organisation of Study associations

Date, time: 26 May 2020, 14:00

Location: Jitsi Meet



Linda (Abacus): Does it take five full days or are the activities spread throughout the week? 115

Layla (Dimensie): Yes, it usually lasts 3 full days and then two second half of days and sometimes there are evening activities till 20:00.

Jana (OSb): Any other questions?

Alina (Stress): Okay, but why will we not treat it like business days? So, a category C event.

Jana (OSb): Category 3 (now classification C) entails activities such as the activity of Dimensie. 120
In this category, if you have a closer look at it, all cases are treated that fulfill the requirement of not being a one day activity only, are educational, and have a certain number of substantial elements represented. Usually this category entails business courses, Europe Trips and similar educational activities. The committee decides whether the requirements are met or not. in the case of Dimensie we are trying to find out whether educational events like their career week 125 should be moved to category 2 (now classification D).

Nienke (Sirius): Are there more associations with such an activity? Because we have something like it, although not 5 days but 2 days.

Lieke (ConceptT): We have that as well, a two day business course.

Seija (Paradoks): Yes, we where planning on organising a similar event. 130

Jana (OSb): Yearly, or new event?

Seija (Paradoks). Yes, new.

Kevin (Inter-Actief): We also have something similar.

Jana (OSb): For what category are you applying then?

Kevin (Inter-Actief): Depends, we have a 5-day business course, but its closer to a small study 135 trip than a career fair. I think category 3.

Jonne (Newton): I do not know if this is also the same, but we have a one day course plus case event for a high money prize for master students. Although, this is not organised by a committee but by the board and our alumni.

Nienke (Sirius): But if I am correct, you can only apply for 3 activities right now in total for 140 category b and c. And we have a symposium, two business courses and a trip so we can not apply for it in one of these categories. That is why we apply for it in category 4 but we never receive grants for it (because there are not enough for category 4)

Jana (OSb): Yes, there is a maximum indeed.

Jana (OSb): Personally I would really like to give Layla their grants. But from the SU we get 145 the grants very specific for study tours, symposia, smaller trips etc. Kick-In committees could also receive grants but as OS, we believe larger committees deserve them more. However, we cannot make exceptions of course, so this goes for everyone or for no one. If we decide to change the number of events you can apply for, category 2 would become much larger. That is not necessarily a problem but the amount of grants per committee will most likely decrease. 150

Nienke (Sirius): But if more associations organise these activities, is it a possibility to add it somewhere? I have no idea if it is difficult to add something to this model. But this could be an idea.

Kevin (Inter-Actief): I would say that currently the definition of category 2 is nicely defined, and applies for every study association. If we would like to give grants to business courses/career 155 fairs I would suggest a broadened definition of category 3.

Minutes GMA Organisation of Study associations

Date, time: 26 May 2020, 14:00

Location: Jitsi Meet



Jana (OSb): Valid point, but business courses are already eligible for FOBOS.

Seija (Paradoks): Nienke, I think that wouldn't work because that means other categories would have less grants.

160 Linda (Abacus): I agree with Kevin, the symposia should not suffer from it, especially because every study association can benefit from category 2.

Jana (OSb): The bigger category 2 gets, the more associations will have to fight for receiving grants in this category.

165 Kevin (Inter-Actief): Right now, all symposia are treated equal and every study association get the same grants in that category.

Ward (Astatine): How would you redefine classification B to include the Dimensie business days and maybe other activities?

170 Jana (OSb): That is something, we would have to discuss with committee and the next board as well. It would probably enable applications for educational and professional events that are longer than only one day. So we would change it to a category that allows several day events as well. The other prerequisites would not change.

Kevin (Inter-Actief): And how would the definition of category 3 business course then be different from the proposed events in category 2?

Jana (OSb): Good point. I believe that category 3 should then exclude business days.

175 Jonne (Newton): Wouldn't it be a solution to define B as a choice for either a symposium or a business course, that you can only apply for 1. Then the other event would be able to apply at C. So that associations can see for themselves, if their symposium or business course is bigger.

Jana (OSb): I did not think about that, interesting point.

Kevin (Inter-Actief): I would say that would be complicating the situation.

180 Jana (OSb): It would indeed leave too much room for interpretation. So, that would give the committee a hard time to decide which committee is eligible and which is not. Also, it becomes harder to present this at GMAs. So, I think it was a good suggestion but it makes it more complicated.

185 Jonne (Newton): But then all associations have a stand on category 2. It was just a random idea so no clue how easy to implement it is. Category 2 is stable, SAs are sure they get grants. If you say that the business course is bigger that is okay, but other associations focus on making a big symposium. Then it would not be fair to let a business course + small symposium take the grants for the big symposium. So if study associations can choose which of their activities gets the grants from category 2, then you would not get that problem.

190 Ward (Astatine): Is there a practical difference between a high ranked category C and a low ranked category B activity?

195 Jana (OSb): That sort of depends on the year and amount of grants available. First the study tours grants would be filled then the next category, which is symposia, will be filled and then category 3 will be filled. So it can very well happen that category 2 is filled, and category 3 is not completely filled. But there are also priorities within the categories. So, business courses in 3 can get as much as symposia in category 2 but only if enough grants are available. Is that somewhat clear?



Ward (Astatine): Yeah clear, but I feel like a lot of the internal ranking is based on historical preferences. Something like that could also be done, but then you have nothing on paper so it is still dependent on the committee. 200

Jana (OSb): We are trying to resolve it right now. So let's wrap it up. Any new aspects you want to talk about?

Based on the discussion I think it is likely that we won't change the current version of the model because it would become too vague. However, we will pass on the feedback. Still I want to have a general vote for the current model, and then whatever you suggest will be forwarded to the next committee and board so that they can work on this with our guidance. So to get an overview of the general opinion. Put a 2 or a 3 in the groupchat to state which category you prefer career fairs or similar events to be placed in. 205

votes for category 2: 1

votes for category 3: 18 210

Jana (OSb): Okay so for now they shall remain in category 3. We will explain the situation to the new board and committee. However, it seems clear that it should stay as it is. So any changes will be small. Layla, do you have any comments?

Layla (Dimensie): I see your points. Nice that this could be discussed! Also, it is important for me to have the understanding of how other SAs arrange the grants. Dimensie is a bit different, since we have no study tours. I think this will come up again in a few years when the career week has better established itself. 215

Jana (OSb): This potential change was discussed based on a case of Dimensie, but would probably have been discussed anyway. It was a decision against changing the category, not against Dimensie. The next committee will be informed about this. Layla, please discuss this with your candidate board to bring this up as well, if they have any new points. 220

6.1 Document 20200403

Jana (OSb): Classification D is different from A-C (formerly 1-3). A-C always applied for upcoming year and at the end of the year it is judged in how far they should be receiving grants. Then the prove is being sent in by the associations to prove that the events have taken place (and to which extent). 225

Category D is different as you make an application within one year. For category D a new document was made. Content-wise it is the same, but the phrasing and structure is different. There are no huge changes since last GMA. Any questions?

Oh one extra note for the CBs: This document shows how to apply for grants, how to send proof, and shows the procedure (when does everything have to take place, when are deadlines). 230

The last part of the document is important for part-time boards that cannot apply for grants at the SU, e.g. Ideefiks cannot. This part was newly added. In the future this might also be the case for Onwijs and Komma, but for now, they can still apply for grants.

Okay, we can vote on it now. If we approve it now, it does not mean it cannot be changed at all but more that we change it for now like we just proposed. Please raise your hand or send a message if you do not agree. 235

7 Activism Grants Category 4 2020/21 – for discussion

7.1 Document 20200404



8 Integration of associations/ boards

Jana (OSb): I will quickly state the 'problem': It is important to pay attention that all OS associations feel integrated, so be equally present and involved. This might be difficult, if you are in a part-time board, or switch in February (ET faculty). Also for really small boards it might be hard to integrate yourself. This is due to time constraints etc.

I want to start with a discussion to see how we can we solve these issues or maybe to help the candidate board to take a look at this? Do any of you have any ideas or points that might be helpful for the next board. Please give us ideas/experiences.

Lieke (ConceptT): I think ET can already integrate quite well, but that's just my opinion.

250 Jana (OSb): Okay, that is your opinion. What do Newton and Daedalus say?

Maarten (Daedalus): I agree with this.

Jonne (Newton): A good way to integrate is to participate in the lustrum announcement quiz of Newton <https://discord.gg/zA4dZXq>. But yes, I agree also.

255 Jana (OSb): Hahah, yes, good point. That is indeed a good way to integrate. ET seems to be fine.

260 Jeanine (Alembic): Apart from the ET faculty and the part-time boards, integration between full time boards could be better. Chairs of the association (GMA, drinks ,outing) get to know each other. But internal or secretary do not have meetings together. So, for them, integration in general is also lower. So I am not sure, if the type of association should be the focus, or the function rather.

Lieke (ConceptT): Agreed.

Jana (OSb): Let's move that to later in the discussion. It is definitely relevant but I first want to discuss part time boards.

265 Florian (Ideefiks): Regarding Ideefiks, we think the integration and cooperation with other boards and the OS has greatly increased this year and we are very motivated to increase these relations further and continue to organise more events with other associations. Through this we hopefully have made Ideefiks already more "alive" and active again in comparison to the previous years.

270 Jana (OSb): Maybe Communiqué could comment as well as they are also partly part time. What do you think could be improved?

Mira (Communiqué): The integration is, I think, very similar to full time boards. For example, the part time board members always joined for activities with other associations.

275 Layla (Dimensie): For us, there was a difference between this year and last year (last year half half part-time and fulltime, this year all part time). Next year we will have seven part time board members, so that is better. It was not an issue being part time, but depends on the amount of people you have in your board. Perception of part time boards is something that needs to be established a bit more. They do the same, just with less time. This is something we have to get used to and support more. We are not less engaged, less active, less interested. I hope that this view has been improved, but it was not necessarily bad in the past year.

280 Klara (cOSb): I agree with the last point.

Jana (OSb): Do you have ideas on how OS or other study associations could help support this?

Minutes GMA Organisation of Study associations

Date, time: 26 May 2020, 14:00

Location: Jitsi Meet



Layla (Dimensie): It would be nice to not make it seem like such a big difference. The things we are doing are the same. We all decided to do the same work. Making a separation creates more of a division that there should be. I do not feel part time or full time, just "a board member".

Jana (OSb): I think you make a very good point there. Then now to Jeanine's point of not all 285 roles within a board integrating as much. What do others think?

Jeanine (Alembic): I do not necessarily have a solution for this but I just think it is a shame that my fellow board members did not feel so involved as I did. Not sure if this is the responsibility of OS.

Jana (OSb): Yes, interesting point. It is not our responsibility but it is something that we are 290 trying to do. What do you think of the after-GMA drinks for example?

Jeanine (Alembic): Think these work really well. Then all board members are integrating instead of just some at their corresponding outings.

Kevin (Inter-Actief): I would also say again that the after GMA drinks work well. Some of my board members specifically visit those drinks to see other board members 295

Lieke (cOSb): Would it be an idea, if OS organizes a outing for each function one a year? Or do you think it is the responsibility of themselves?

Linda (Abacus): I do notice that some board members feel less 'need' to have this integration. I think it's very good to stimulate them to integrate, but not everyone is always willing too I noticed so far. 300

Joop (Atlantis): I just wanted to say that when a part time board does get very busy, it is nice to be able to be involved with the faculty SA's, like we are. In our busiest months, when we are unable to attend all other things, we still go to EEMCS Pre-Meetings, and do our best to send at least one person to EWI Overleg!

Kevin (Inter-Actief): Indeed, because not everyone has the same need for integration, I would 305 say it should be mostly the responsibility of the board members themselves.

But OS could promote/stimulate it.

Nienke (Sirius): Every board function needs a Kevin!

Mira (Communiqué): I would propose to maybe have more flexible dates for the Chair outings, in general, I would stay, for example, on Thursday, but maybe every third meeting or something 310 like that could be on another date so those who have regular events on Thursdays could join sometimes as well.

Seija (Paradoks): But OS already does this right? With the OS BBQ?

Jonne (Newton): I agree with Kevin

Maarten (Daedalus): Yeah great idea Lieke! Maybe the first outing can be hosted by OS and 315 afterwards it would be their own responsibility.

Seija (Paradoks): I agree with Maarten.

Maartje (Proto): I also agree with Maarten.

Layla (Dimensie): I agree, I think that would stimulate integration at the beginning if it's organised by OS. and then people might have less of a threshold to attend later throughout the 320 year.

Nienke (Sirius): Good idea! Once they see how much fun it is, it might stimulate them to organise more meetings themselves.



Lieke (Concept): But what is then the 'first time'? Since ET has a different year than the rest
325 So twice a year could also be possible.

Jana (OSb): Of course it is not 'mandatory'. Although it might indeed be good if the option is there at least.

Jana (OSb): Do you agree, coming back to Mira's point, that dates of chairman outings should change around a bit?

330 Linda (Abacus): I actually liked having standard outings at a standard date.

Jonne (Newton): I think it is nice that it is steady every last Thursday of the month.

Layla (Dimensie): I think having alternative dates to the outing would be nice, because if you can't join on Thursdays you are excluded for the whole year.

335 Maartje (Proto): I personally really like the fact that the chairman outings are always on the same date, so that I can keep them free in advance.

Jonne (Newton): And if you have a standard other activity on Thursday, you can skip the standard thing sometime maybe?

340 Jeanine (Alembic): Maybe it would be a nice idea to still have it every Thursday, because it is nice to have that fixed in the agenda. Maybe it would be nice to add some extra activities so that they can join once in a while.

Alina (Stress): I agree with Jeanine.

Maartje (Proto): I also think Jeanine's idea could work.

9 Corona situation (current)

Jana (OSb): Any problems concerning corona?

345 Jana (OSb): Okay, no big problems makes sense, since we discussed this a lot already last GMA.

10 Problems in Q1 2020 – discussion

Jana (OSb): We will be skipping this point because of the time. If you have any issues/questions etc. please discuss this with your representatives.

11 Upcoming topics

350 Jana (OSb):

- Updated version alcohol policy, we are still waiting on the reply of some parties at the UT. - Mental wellbeing - YER workshop - Sustainability

- CB activity on the 6th of July as a replacement for the OS BBQ. If you are interested you can join. If you have ideas, please let us know!

355 12 Any other business

Jana (OSb): Now back to Puck's point.

360 Puck (UReka): There were some concerns mentioned, but maybe these were a bit exaggerated. Therefore, my question for you is if your OKIC's are struggling or if anything is still unclear. Please send in the chat 'ASSOCIATION Clear or Unclear' so that we have a better overview on the percentage for whom it is still unclear..

Linda (Abacus): I think clear or unclear is too black-white, parts are clear and parts are unclear.

Minutes GMA Organisation of Study associations

Date, time: 26 May 2020, 14:00

Location: Jitsi Meet



Layla (Dimensie): Do you mean as in what the program knows what is allowed in regards to the corona measurements?

Maartje (Proto): I agree with Linda.

Lars (Scintilla): I agree with Linda too.

365

Linda (Abacus): It is still unclear what dates/amount of days the faculty days will actually get.

Alina (Stress): There was an email about that yesterday.

Jan (Astatine): Yes, that email was sent but they still said the faculty dates were unclear.

Gerard (CB Alembic): The OKIC received a mail.

Daan (OSb): In the email it does state three possible days. It was put at the end so days could be removed.

Puck (UREka): Okay these are clear comments. Communication should be clearer and quicker. Are the study directors also involved for you?

Linda (Abacus): Since a couple of weeks our PD got involved.

Gerard (CB Alembic): We have received information from our study advisor (not program director?) which we did not get from the KIC.

Manouk (SU): There is a plan, but it is not final yet. As soon as this happens it will be sent. I believe it will be clear soon.

Puck (UREka): Do you think that it is clear for them that they should communicate new details as quickly as possible?

380

Maartje (Proto): We also had to state how much money we're asking from participants, without knowing how many days the faculty days would be, which can be quite hard.

Seija (Paradoks): We were told we only would get 1,5 day.

Jan (Astatine): It is also unclear to what extent physical activities can be organised in the faculty kick-in.

385

Linda (Abacus): In general I think the communication to the OKICs can be a lot quicker and clearer, I know it's hard for the Kick-in, but our OKIC is really struggling with what they can and can't do.

Seija (Paradoks): It is also unclear what kind of activities we can do, because we don't want to do the same as the Kick-In but they don't tell us what they are planning.

390

Jonne (Newton): *commenting on Manouk* Even if it is not finalised, I think that there could be an indication.

Linda (Abacus): I agree with Jonne.

Jonne (Newton): Otherwise our kick in committee has to do a lot over the summer holidays.

Lars (Scintilla): It think it would also be nice to see preliminary plans, even if they are not final.

395

Puck (UREka): Thank you for all feedback, I think I have enough information to take back to the council.

Jana (OSb): Gerard mentioned that they received info from their program director that they did not receive yet from the Kick-In committee.

400

Minutes GMA Organisation of Study associations

Date, time: 26 May 2020, 14:00

Location: Jitsi Meet



Most SA's would really like to see preliminary plans.

Manouk (SU): I understand but then it they might still change but we will look at that.

Jonne (Newton): I think under the circumstances our committees understand also if a preliminary plan is changed.

405 Jana (OSb): At least some information would be better than none.

13 To-do's and decisions resume

13.1 To-do's

Number	Action point	Deadline
GMA Nov.01	All associations Discuss sustainability and topics surrounding Corona with your representatives	a.s.a.p.

13.2 Decisions

- **GMA May.01** The minutes of the GMA of 30-04-2020 are approved. (page 4)
- 410 • **GMA May.02** Document 20200404 is approved. (page 7)

14 Closing

Jana (OSb) closes this online General Members Assembly.

15:47