

Minutes GMA Organisation of Study associations

Date, time: 31 October 2019, 14:00

Location: TR 3130



Present	Jana Bergmann (OSb 2019-II)
	Niels Roosenschoon (OSb 2019-II)
	Ramon Oppers (OSb 2019-II)
	Daniela van Meggelen (OSb 2019-II)
	Daan Peters (OSb 2019-II)
	Rik Seelen (OSb 2019-II)
	Niels de Groot (Activism grants Committee)
	Sjoerd Bruggenwirth (Student Union)
	Wouter Bolhuis (De Ambitieuze Student)
	Puck Kemper (UReka)
	Tim Schuttevaer (C.T.S.G. Alembic)
	Jeanine ter Horst (C.T.S.G. Alembic)
	Imke Nijenbanning (S.V. Arago)
	Ward Huijskes (S.A. Astatine)
	Mira Kumar (S.A. Communiqué)
	Manouk te Wierik (S.A. Communiqué)
	Marit Lambers (Concept)
	Dagmar Tjalsma (S.G. Daedalus)
	Joep van Manen (W.S.G. Isaac Newton)
	Seija van Lochem (S.V. Paradoks)
	Sanne Bouman (S.A. Proto)
	Maarten Bonnema (E.T.S.V. Scintilla)
	Lars Essenstam (E.T.S.V. Scintilla)
	Nienke Pleijzier (Sirius)
	Leon Wagenaar (S.V. Stress)
	Alina Ritter (S.V. Stress)
	Justin Loup (W.T.S. Ideefiks)
	Constanze Hopfner (Komma)
Chair	Jana Bergmann (OSb 2019-II)
Secretary	Niels Roosenschoon (OSb 2019-II)



1 Opening

Jana (OSb) opens this General Members Assembly.

14:05

2 Setting the agenda

The agenda is adopted without changes.

5 3 Notifications

Niels (OSb):

- Abacus authorises Proto to speak and vote on their behalf
- Atlantis authorises Proto to speak and vote on their behalf
- Inter-Actief authorises Scintilla to speak and vote on their behalf

10 3.1 OS board

- Activism Grants - status

Jana (OSb): The draft version will be discussed next GMA. The draft will be arrive by e-mail somewhere during the next few days. Please send us back any comments that you have so that we can incorporate them before approving the proposal in December. Please do this, as we have very little time to change anything after the December GMA. The same goes for the SRC budget estimate which will also be send coming week.

15

- Instagram OS

Jana (OSb): Right now we only posted one post. If you as an association organise any events that are relevant for all associations please notify us. Then we can post about it on the Instagram.

20

- Influx of students - status

Jana (OSb): We have had several meetings about this topic with various parties at the UT. Right now a meeting with the CvB is coming up. Any proof concerning the problems that arise because of the the large influx of students would be appreciated. More proof has more effect which means we are more likely to be able to make a change.

25

3.2 OS committees

3.3 Study associations

Lars (Scintilla): The chairman outing is today! We have made a reservation at the Fusting at 18:00. Please sign up by sending me a message if you did not do so yet. Of course the OS is also welcome!

30

3.4 Student Union

3.5 University Council parties

Wouter (DAS): This month we organised the TOM 2.0 lunch, to which a lot of people showed up. We are very happy with the input you received. We will still send the minutes of the meeting.

35

Puck (UREka): Yesterday we had a meeting with the university council, the big topic was student well-being. There's a plan for which a lot of money is available. We will be sure to keep a close eye on that.

Jana (OSb): If we as association have any input, can we still send that?



Puck (UReka): At first, there was a plan made which we did not like. Since that needs to be updated, the time for input would be now. You can talk to me about that after the meeting, but of course you can also arrange a meeting with anyone from UReka. 40

- Update about email regarding additional costs

3.6 Other attendees

4 Minutes 45

4.1 Minutes GMA 31-10-2019 (Document 20191102)

Decision *The minutes of the GMA of 31-10-2019 are approved.*

5 To-do list

Number	Action point	Status
GMA Oct.01	Niels (OSb) Expand the part dealing with the Zilverling drinking rooms and ERO in the minutes of 26-09-2019	fulfilled

6 Proposal activism grants division 2019 – informative

Jana (OSb): you received this document already, however you asked for more clarity. Therefore I will shortly explain how we got to the divisions. Please feel free to send me an email if it still unclear at the end. There are three categories, highest category for ???, category 2 is for businesses related activities, category 3 is for association development. Do you want elaboration on the order now? Otherwise please send me an email. 50

I will give some examples on how the order of activities was decided. First the activities that got grants: lustrums usually got grants in the past, but not necessarily in this model anymore. Lustrums usually have one special activity in the lustrum period; however if these special activities are only parties and drinks then that does not count for this model. This was for example the case with Stress. 55

The highest priority is given to activities that engage students on a national level, for example the ONCS which was organised by Alembic. Therefore, they receive 2 grants. As soon as we have divided the higher priority grants we will look at the lower priority, so please note there is no guarantee that you will receive all grants that you applied for. 60

Also there is a special case for Ideefiks: they receive grants regardless as they are a part time board, which does not get grants via board FOBOS because they are not eligible. For Komma and Onwijs this will probably be the same next year. 65

Jana (OSb): Any questions?

Why is the BMS syposium of Communique on there but not our symposium?

Jana (OSb): Because they did not apply for that.

Sanne (Proto): Also the grants for the BMS will be divided amongst the associations that participated, so it is not only for communique. 70

Marit (ConcepT): So it will be one grant divided by three? That's only about 5 euros per person.

Rik (OSb): Yes but that is already the case for many grants that associations applied for.

Lars (Scintilla): Why was Scrapheap rejected? People practice working together in groups. So why was it rejected? 75



Jana (OSb): I agree, it was difficult to reject. It could be priority one but compared to other associations it was relatively low effort to organize and low impact.

Lars (Scintilla): How was this determined or was it just estimated?

80 Jana (OSb): Estimations concerning the workload were given by the associations, and some estimations were made by the ABC committee themselves. They estimated how much time it would take to organize this activity themselves.

Niels (ABC): This was also based on questions answered via the mail. This activity could fall under bonding and a company activity, but the size was small compared to the other activities
85 that applied.

Lars (Scintilla): I do not know what the other associations provided.

Niels (ABC): Based on your evidence and the other evidence we received this was what was decided.

Jana (OSb): Do you think another activity should also be excluded based on that?

90 Lars (Scintilla): Maybe the concrete boat from ConcepT though I don't know any details of that but I will talk to Niels about this later.

6.1 Document 20191103

7 Kick-In responsibilities of study associations – informative

Jana (OSb): This initiative was started by the steering committee. It has quite a big impact on
95 on how the curricular part of the Kick-In is organised. I tried to translate the most important parts to English.

Alina (Stress): The Kick-In should provide documents like this in English, they even got a prize for being the most international board. It should not have been Jana's job to translate this.

100 Daan (OSb): That is true, but those documents were not yet finished. So Jana translated the most important parts.

Daan (OSb): Every year, the parties that were involved with the extra-curricular part of the Kick-In get an evaluation form to fill in. This year the curricular part of the Kick-In was also included however. In the document, the results can be seen. This was decided because the evaluations done by the study associations were quite different and the steering committee
105 wanted to have a more structured overview. The main point of the evaluation was, among others, that not all students felt prepared for their first week of studying which is the main goal of faculty kick in. There were also several other goals but I am not sure if they are in the translated version. Personally, we did not know about these goals until now and they still have to go past the university council so they might still be revised.

110 The steering committee asked if we could let all study associations know about these rules, and asked if you could all evaluate with your kick in committees on how to reach these goals. When the new rules are set, your program directors will probably also sit down with you in February to discuss them. So, hereby a heads up for that. Also note that they are the ones that give a go/no go for the financial support of your kick-ins.

115 *All associations Discuss with your boards and kick-in committees on how you plan to reach the goals that are set* **Action point**

Daan (OSb): An English version will probably be available in two weeks.

Joep (Newton): Do you also know if there are study specific results?

Minutes GMA Organisation of Study associations

Date, time: 31 October 2019, 14:00

Location: TR 3130



Daan (OSb): Yes, if you scroll down in the document it is there at the bottom. The main problem that kept recurring is that not all students feel prepared for their study. It of course differs per study association on how they tried to make sure that the students were prepared. 120

Jana (OSb): More elaborate tables can be found in the next document. It is most important to remember that most faculties are giving you money to let you organise it, so please include education and introduction events as well. Also, don't let students feel obligated to do certain things they do not want to do. They should not feel intimidated. 125

Sjoerd (SU): Program directors have always been end responsible for meeting these goals, but as they are often busy this was not their main priority. So a heads-up: this will be focused on way more the coming year(s).

Daan (OSb): That is why the steering committee started to evaluate this as well.

Sjoerd (SU): Please come to me or Daan if you have any further questions about this. 130

Marit (ConceptT): Why did we receive the whole document? The extra-curricular part is not relevant to us.

Daan (OSb): One version included only chapter 2 and 3 which were the relevant parts for you, the other documents were the complete versions. Next time we will make it more clear which documents to focus on. 135

Ward (Astatine): I had a question about the part dealing with "recommendations". I was not entirely sure what was meant with recommendation.

Sjoerd (SU): The do-group selection process is different per association and in some cases allowed for discrimination. Therefore, a pilot was implemented where you could digitally give a top list of the do groups and then the algorithm would make a match. We are now trying to implement this everywhere. 140

Ward (Astatine): So should I read this as "we will provide this digital method if you want" or "people will have to use it"?

Sjoerd (SU): People will have to use it.

Daan (OSb): There will be more information when the goals are set. We will ask when you can expect more results. 145

Sjoerd (SU): For two studies "completely agree" was rated for the selection process being fair, for the other studies this was not the case.

Jeanine (Alembic): About the Ladies Kick-In that we have, some people already know each other from that and then find it more important to get to know each other better than being in a specific group. That seems to be a flaw in this system. 150

Seija (Paradoks): I already had communication with the KIC about this. That is indeed not possible so that is a flaw.

Sjoerd (SU): That is something for which we need to find a balance. People want to be with friends but we also do not want to exclude anyone. 155

Ward (Astatine): There might be some statistical bias in the ratings so you could say it is not the most reliable research.

Jana (OSb): Go to Daan and Sjoerd for any other questions. Any other questions about the document itself?



160 Jeanine (Alembic): The last two tables for bachelor and master are exactly the same, are they just copied?

Daan (OSb): We got this from the kick in, but that indeed seems odd.

Jana (OSb): We will contact the Kick In about that.

OSb 2019-II Contact the KIC about the correct tables

Action point

165 Ward (Astatine): It is a big hassle to go through the whole document, it would be nice if the KIC could send data specific for each separate study program.

Daan (OSb): I am not sure if KIC wants to invest their time into doing this.

Sjoerd (SU): It is not obligatory for the KIC to do the evaluation of the curricular part. We could however give this task to the OS...

170 Rik (OSb): It is like 5 minutes of work.

Sjoerd (SU): To come back to the study Kick-Ins: Of course it important to look at “enough food” and “not too much waiting time”, but the overarching goals are very important to keep in mind. Is the introduction representable for a typical study year here.

Sanne (Proto): Were the documents also sent to study programs?

175 Sjoerd (SU): We are still waiting for input of UC-OW. If the goals stay the same, they will be sent. A look will be taken at if the goals should be met during the faculty kick in or earlier or later, if that is all clear the document will be sent to the study programs.

7.1 Document 20191104

7.2 Document 20191105

180 7.3 Document 20191106

Jana (OSb): I do not think that everyone read all of the document so if you have any more comments or feedback, you can do that now or we can do it at a separate moment. If you are interested in that then you can come to me.

8 Evaluation and Finances GalOS for approval

185 8.1 Document 20191107

Daniela (OSb): A quick summary of the evaluation: The organisation started very late, which made the organisation a bit more stressful but it turned out to be doable. However, it is desired to start earlier so there is sufficient time to arrange sponsoring money. The structure of the committee was fine, as the OS board representative was actively involved in the committee and there were three active committee members.

190 Contact with other parties went very smoothly. It is a recommendation to promote intensively in the last few days as that resulted in a lot more sign-ups. The same goes for going around the study associations. For the ticket sale, next time people should get a confirmation when they sign up so they don't accidentally sign up twice. There should also be earlier communication to the study associations about their share in participants.

Finally, the gala itself went really well and people seemed to like it a lot! We are glad with the high turnout and hope you have all enjoyed the event as much as we did!



8.2 Document 20191108

Daniela (OSb): The profit on the income side is the general profit that the OS made last year. It was decided to use this to finance big activities this year so that they could be cheaper. Not all money was used, so the remaining 75 euros can be spent on for example the CantOS. 200

Decision *The evaluation and finances of the GalOS are approved.*

9 Discharging GalOS – for approval

Decision *Jaimy de Kok, Peter van der Burgt, Mira Kumar, Layla Islam are discharged from the Prom committee* 205

10 Information Channel OS – informative

Jana (OSb): Most information goes via representatives or email. Would you guys like another channel to receive information? For example a canvas or Whatsapp group? Or do you guys have any other suggestions?

Mira (Communiqué): Maybe a Whatsapp group in which only the OS can post messages. So that we do not receive the information only via email. 210

Jana (OSb): Okay we will take a look at that then.

11 Drinking rooms - discussion

Jana (OSb): We heard some rumours about associations have trouble with BOA's, but we do not know if that is true. Did anyone have any (other) issues? Also, you are brothers so please do not talk bad about each other. So it is okay if you experienced any issues but do not diminish others. 215

Imke (Arago): In the document provided by CvB it was stated that the 'terras' of the TAP is the nanohofje, next to Carre, but the gebouwbeheer now says that we cannot have drinks there anymore. But especially in the summer it is nice to have a drinks there. Who can we talk to about that? 220

Jana (OSb): To who did they talk about that?

Ramon (OSb): I sent a survey to all the borrelcommittees, to evaluate, and also find out if there are problems during this first 1,5 year that we have the permit. I will ask this question about the location during the discussion of these results. 225

12 Second FocOS Group session – informative

Jana (OSb): On the 13th of December the next session (13:00-14:30) will take place, this can also be found on the website. This FocOS group will be about alumni. It is meant for yourselves to discuss together how to improve for example your alumni associations. If only a few people show up, it might still be really useful. 230

13 Activism Recognition – informative

Daan (OSb): Hereby a reminder to let your former board members know that the deadline is soon (3 months after board year has ended)

Sjoerd (SU): We are also working on a project "edubadges" to get an online badge/certificate. Is that something you guys would like? 235

Constanze (Komma): Is there also for part time boards an activism recognition?

Sjoerd (SU): We are working on that.



Constanze (Komma): Yes, I am graduating soon so I would like to have it then.

Marit (ConceptT): How is the digital certificate different from just a scan?

240 Sjoerd (SU): We can talk about that after the GMA.

14 Upcoming topics

Jana (OSb): We will give an update about the influx of students, the SRC budget will be approved. Also, the Category 1-4 distribution will be discussed, documents for this will be sent soon so please provide comments if you have them. Lastly, the KIOSK finances can be presented.

15 Any other business

Jana (OSb): The SU and CvB are organising a breakfast session with Thom Palstra, you will still receive a mail about this.

250 Dagmar (Daedalus): I received complaints about the kick-in committee. They introduced themselves in Dutch on Facebook, please do it in English. It is really important that this is done in English.

Sjoerd (SU): If you have your Facebook in Dutch, the organisation can choose to show it in Dutch instead of English. So what happens if you change your settings?

Dagmar (Daedalus): I do not know but just make sure it is always done in English regardless.

255 16 To-do's and decisions resume

16.1 To-do's

Number	Action point	Deadline
GMA Oct.01	All associations Discuss with your boards and kick-in committees on how you plan to reach the goals that are set <small>(page 4)</small>	
GMA Oct.02	OSb 2019-II Contact the KIC about the correct tables <small>(page 6)</small>	

16.2 Decisions

- **GMA Oct.01** The minutes of the GMA of 31-10-2019 are approved. (page 3)
- **GMA Oct.02** The evaluation and finances of the GalOS are approved. (page 7)
- 260 • **GMA Oct.03** Jaimy de Kok, Peter van der Burgt, Mira Kumar, Layla Islam are discharged from the Prom committee (page 7)

17 Closing

Jana (OSb) closes this General Members Assembly.

14:39