GMA 19-12-2019
All documents

Agenda General Member Assembly

Date: December 19th, 2019
Time: 14:00
Location: **CR 3H**

1. Opening
2. Setting Agenda
3. Notifications
    a. OS-board
        i. OS Candidate board-member
        ii. Alumni Discussion – status
        iii. Influx of students – status
        iv. Update ERO courses
    b. OS-committees
    c. Study Associations
    d. Student Union
        i. Update on Activism Recognition
    e. University Council parties
    f. Other
4. Minutes
    a. Minutes GMA 28-11-2019
        i. Document 20191202
5. To do list

Number	Action point	Deadline
GMA Nov. 01	**All associations** Discuss with boards and Kick-In Committees on how to achieve the goals set by the Evaluation Document	August 2020
GMA Nov. 02	**OSb 2019 II** Approach Kick-In about correct tables	ASAP

6. SRC Budget 2019 – *for approval*
    a. Document 20191203
7. Distribution Activism Grants Category 1-4, 2019 – *for approval*
    a. Document 20191204
8. Inventory Activism Grants Category 1-3, 2020 – *for approval*
    a. Document 20191205
9. Finances KIOSK 2019 – *for approval*
    a. Document 20191206
10. Charging UTEA Committee 2020

11. Facility Market February
12. Third FocOS Group

13. Upcoming topics

14. A.O.B.
15. To-do list resumé
16. Closing

Minutes GMA Organisation of Study associations

Date, time:	31 October 2019, 14:00
Location:	TR 3130

Present	Jana Bergmann (OSb 2019-II)
	Niels Roosenschoon (OSb 2019-II)
	Ramon Oppers (OSb 2019-II)
	Daniela van Meggelen (OSb 2019-II)
	Daan Peters (OSb 2019-II)
	Rik Seelen (OSb 2019-II)
	Niels de Groot (Activism grants Committee)
	Sjoerd Bruggenwirth (Student Union)
	Wouter Bolhuis (De Ambitieuze Student)
	Puck Kemper (UReka)
	Tim Schuttevaer (C.T.S.G. Alembic)
	Jeanine ter Horst (C.T.S.G. Alembic)
	Imke Nijenbanning (S.V. Arago)
	Ward Huijskes (S.A. Astatine)
	Mira Kumar (S.A. Communiqué)
	Manouk te Wierik (S.A. Communiqué)
	Marit Lambers (ConcepT)
	Dagmar Tjalsma (S.G. Daedalus)
	Joep van Manen (W.S.G. Isaac Newton)
	Seija van Lochem (S.V. Paradoks)
	Sanne Bouman (S.A. Proto)
	Maarten Bonnema (E.T.S.V. Scintilla)
	Lars Essenstam (E.T.S.V. Scintilla)
	Nienke Pleijzier (Sirius)
	Leon Wagenaar (S.V. Stress)
	Alina Ritter (S.V. Stress)
	Justin Loup (W.T.S. Ideefiks)
	Constanze Hopfner (Komma)
Chair	Jana Bergmann (OSb 2019-II)
Secretary	Niels Roosenschoon (OSb 2019-II)

1   Opening

Jana (OSb) opens this General Members Assembly.   **14:05**

2   Setting the agenda

The agenda is adopted without changes.

5 ## 3   Notifications

Niels (OSb):

- Abacus authorises Proto to speak and vote on their behalf
- Atlantis authorises Proto to speak and vote on their behalf
- Inter-Actief authorises Scintilla to speak and vote on their behalf

10 ### 3.1   OS board

- Activism Grants - status

   Jana (OSb): The draft version will be discussed next GMA. The draft will be arrive by
   e-mail somewhere during the next few days. Please send us back any comments that you
   have so that we can incorporate them before approving the proposal in December. Please
15 do this, as we have very little time to change anything after the December GMA. The
   same goes for the SRC budget estimate which will also be send coming week.

- Instagram OS

   Jana (OSb): Right now we only posted one post. If you as an association organise any
   events that are relevant for all associations please notify us. Then we can post about it
20 on the Instagram.

- Influx of students - status

   Jana (OSb): We have had several meetings about this topic with various parties at the
   UT. Right now a meeting with the CvB is coming up. Any proof concerning the problems
   that arise because of the the large influx of students would be appreciated. More proof
25 has more effect which means we are more likely to be able to make a change.

3.2   OS committees

3.3   Study associations

Lars (Scintilla): The chairman outing is today! We have made a reservation at the Fusting at
18:00. Please sign up by sending me a message if you did not do so yet. Of course the OS is
30 also welcome!

3.4   Student Union

3.5   University Council parties

Wouter (DAS): This month we organised the TOM 2.0 lunch, to which a lot of people showed
up. We are very happy with the input you received. We will still send the minutes of the
35 meeting.

Puck (UReka): Yesterday we had a meeting with the university council, the big topic was
student well-being. There's a plan for which a lot of money is available. We will be sure to keep
a close eye on that.

Jana (OSb): If we as association have any input, can we still send that?

Puck (UReka): At first, there was a plan made which we did not like. Since that needs to be 40 updated, the time for input would be now. You can talk to me about that after the meeting, but of course you can also arrange a meeting with anyone from UReka.

- Update about email regarding additional costs

3.6   Other attendees

4   Minutes   45

4.1   Minutes GMA 31-10-2019 (Document 20191102)

Decision   *The minutes of the GMA of 31-10-2019 are approved.*

5   To-do list

Number	Action point	Status
GMA Oct.01	**Niels (OSb)** Expand the part dealing with the Zilverling drinking rooms and ERO in the minutes of 26-09-2019	fulfilled

6   Proposal activism grants division 2019 – informative

Jana (OSb): you received this document already, however you asked for more clarity. Therefore 50 I will shortly explain how we got to the divisions. Please feel free to send me an email if it still unclear at the end. There are three categories, highest category for ???, category 2 is for businesses related activities, category 3 is for association development. Do you want elaboration on the order now? Otherwise please send me an email.

I will give some examples on how the order of activities was decided. First the activities that 55 got grants: lustrums usually got grants in the past, but not necessarily in this model anymore. Lustrums usually have one special activity in the lustrum period; however if these special activities are only parties and drinks then that does not count for this model. This was for example the case with Stress.

The highest priority is given to activities that engage students on a national level, for example 60 the ONCS which was organised by Alembic. Therefore, they receive 2 grants. As soon as we have divided the higher priority grants we will look at the lower priority, so please note there is no guarantee that you will receive all grants that you applied for.

Also there is a special case for Ideefiks: they receive grants regardless as they are a part time board, which does not get grants via board FOBOS because they are not eligible. For Komma 65 and Onwijs this will probably be the same next year.

Jana (OSb): Any questions?

Why is the BMS syposium of Communique on there but not our symposium?

Jana (OSb): Because they did not apply for that.

Sanne (Proto): Also the grants for the BMS will be divided amongst the associations that 70 participated, so it is not only for communique.

Marit (ConcepT): So it will be one grant divided by three? That's only about 5 euros per person.

Rik (OSb): Yes but that is already the case for many grants that associations applied for.

Lars (Scintilla): Why was Scrapheap rejected? People practice working together in groups. So 75 why was it rejected?

Jana (OSb): I agree, it was difficult to reject. It could be priority one but compared to other associations it was relatively low effort to organize and low impact.

Lars (Scintilla): How was this determined or was it just estimated?

80   Jana (OSb): Estimations concerning the workload were given by the associations, and some estimations were made by the ABC committee themselves. They estimated how much time it would take to organize this activity themselves.

Niels (ABC): This was also based on questions answered via the mail. This activity could fall under bonding and a company activity, but the size was small compared to the other activities 85   that applied.

Lars (Scintilla): I do not know what the other associations provided.

Niels (ABC): Based on your evidence and the other evidence we received this was what was decided.

Jana (OSb): Do you think another activity should also be excluded based on that?

90   Lars (Scintilla): Maybe the concrete boat from ConcepT though I don't know any details of that but I will talk to Niels about this later.

6.1   Document 20191103

7   Kick-In responsibilities of study associations – informative

Jana (OSb): This initiative was started by the steering committee. It has quite a big impact on 95   on how the curricular part of the Kick-In is organised. I tried to translate the most important parts to English.

Alina (Stress): The Kick-In should provide documents like this in English, they even got a prize for being the most international board. It should not have been Jana's job to translate this.

Daan (OSb): That is true, but those documents were not yet finished. So Jana translated the 100   most important parts.

Daan (OSb): Every year, the parties that were involved with the extra-curricular part of the Kick-In get an evaluation form to fill in. This year the curricular part of the Kick-In was also included however. In the document, the results can be seen. This was decided because the evaluations done by the study associations were quite different and the steering committee 105   wanted to have a more structured overview. The main point of the evaluation was, among others, that not all students felt prepared for their first week of studying which is the main goal of faculty kick in. There were also several other goals but I am not sure if they are in the translated version. Personally, we did not know about these goals until now and they still have to go past the university council so they might still be revised.

110   The steering committee asked if we could let all study associations know about these rules, and asked if you could all evaluate with your kick in committees on how to reach these goals. When the new rules are set, your program directors will probably also sit down with you in February to discuss them. So, hereby a heads up for that. Also note that they are the ones that give a go/no go for the financial support of your kick-ins.

115   ***All associations*** *Discuss with your boards and kick-in committees on how you plan to reach*   **Action point** *the goals that are set*

Daan (OSb): An English version will probably be available in two weeks.

Joep (Newton): Do you also know if there are study specific results?

Daan (OSb): Yes, if you scroll down in the document it is there at the bottom. The main problem that kept recurring is that not all students feel prepared for their study. It of course differs per study association on how they tried to make sure that the students were prepared.

Jana (OSb): More elaborate tables can be found in the next document. It is most important to remember that most faculties are giving you money to let you organise it, so please include education and introduction events as well. Also, don't let students feel obligated to do certain things they do not want to do. They should not feel intimidated.

Sjoerd (SU): Program directors have always been end responsible for meeting these goals, but as they are often busy this was not their main priority. So a heads-up: this will be focused on way more the coming year(s).

Daan (OSb): That is why the steering committee started to evaluate this as well.

Sjoerd (SU): Please come to me or Daan if you have any further questions about this.

Marit (ConcepT): Why did we receive the whole document? The extra-curicular part is not relevant to us.

Daan (OSb): One version included only chapter 2 and 3 which were the relevant parts for you, the other documents were the complete versions. Next time we will make it more clear which documents to focus on.

Ward (Astatine): I had a question about the part dealing with "recommendations". I was not entirely sure what was meant with recommendation.

Sjoerd (SU): The do-group selection process is different per association and in some cases allowed for discrimination. Therefore, a pilot was implemented where you could digitally give a top list of the do groups and then the algorithm would make a match. We are now trying to implement this everywhere.

Ward (Astatine): So should I read this as "we will provide this digital method if you want" or "people will have to use it"?

Sjoerd (SU): People will have to use it.

Daan (OSb): There will be more information when the goals are set. We will ask when you can expect more results.

Sjoerd (SU): For two studies "completely agree" was rated for the selection process being fair, for the other studies this was not the case.

Jeanine (Alembic): About the Ladies Kick-In that we have, some people already know each other from that and then find it more important to get to know each other better than being in a specific group. That seems to be a flaw in this system.

Seija (Paradoks): I already had communication with the KIC about this. That is indeed not possible so that is a flaw.

Sjoerd (SU): That is something for which we need to find a balance. People want to be with friends but we also do not want to exclude anyone.

Ward (Astatine): There might be some statistical bias in the ratings so you could say it is not the most reliable research.

Jana (OSb): Go to Daan and Sjoerd for any other questions. Any other questions about the document itself?

Minutes GMA Organisation of Study associations
Date, time: 31 October 2019, 14:00
Location: TR 3130

160   Jeanine (Alembic): The last two tables for bachelor and master are exactly the same, are they just copied?

Daan (OSb): We got this from the kick in, but that indeed seems odd.

Jana (OSb): We will contact the Kick In about that.

OSb 2019-II *Contact the KIC about the correct tables*   **Action point**

165   Ward (Astatine): It is a big hassle to go through the whole document, it would be nice if the KIC could send data specific for each separate study program.

Daan (OSb): I am not sure if KIC wants to invest their time into doing this.

Sjoerd (SU): It is not obligatory for the KIC to do the evaluation of the curricular part. We could however give this task to the OS. . .

170   Rik (OSb): It is like 5 minutes of work.

Sjoerd (SU): To come back to the study Kick-Ins: Of course it important to look at "enough food" and "not too much waiting time", but the overarching goals are very important to keep in mind. Is the introduction representable for a typical study year here.

Sanne (Proto): Were the documents also sent to study programs?

175   Sjoerd (SU): We are still waiting for input of UC-OW. If the goals stay the same, they will be sent. A look will be taken at if the goals should be met during the faculty kick in or earlier or later, if that is all clear the document will be sent to the study programs.

    7.1   Document 20191104

    7.2   Document 20191105

180   7.3   Document 20191106

Jana (OSb): I do not think that everyone read all of the document so if you have any more comments or feedback, you can do that now or we can do it at a separate moment. If you are interested in that then you can come to me.

8   Evaluation and Finances GalOS for approval

185   8.1   Document 20191107

Daniela (OSb): A quick summary of the evaluation: The organisation started very late, which made the organisation a bit more stressful but it turned out to be doable. However, it is desired to start earlier so there is sufficient time to arrange sponsoring money. The structure of the committee was fine, as the OS board representative was actively involved in the committee and
190   there were three active committee members.

Contact with other parties went very smoothly. It is a recommendation to promote intensively in the last few days as that resulted in a lot more sign-ups. The same goes for going around the study associations. For the ticket sale, next time people should get a confirmation when they sign up so they don't accidentally sign up twice. There should also be earlier communication
195   to the study associations about their share in participants.

Finally, the gala itself went really well and people seemed to like it a lot! We are glad with the high turnout and hope you have all enjoyed the event as much as we did!

8.2   Document 20191108

Daniela (OSb): The profit on the income side is the general profit that the OS made last year. It was decided to use this to finance big activities this year so that they could be cheaper. Not 200 all money was used, so the remaining 75 euros can be spent on for example the CantOS.

Decision   *The evaluation and finances of the GalOS are approved.*

9   Discharging GalOS – for approval

Decision   *Jaimy de Kok, Peter van der Burgt, Mira Kumar, Layla Islam are discharged from the Prom committee*   205

10   Information Channel OS – informative

Jana (OSb): Most information goes via representatives or email. Would you guys like another channel to receive information? For example a canvas or Whatsapp group? Or do you guys have any other suggestions?

Mira (Communiqué): Maybe a Whatsapp group in which only the OS can post messages. So 210 that we do not receive the information only via email.

Jana (OSb): Okay we will take a look at that then.

11   Drinking rooms - discussion

Jana (OSb): We heard some rumours about associations have trouble with BOA's, but we do not know if that is true. Did anyone have any (other) issues? Also, you are brothers so please 215 do not talk bad about each other. So it is okay if you experienced any issues but do not diminish others.

Imke (Arago): I the document provided by CvB it was stated that the 'terras' of the TAP is the nanohofje, next to Carre, but the gebouwbeheer now says that we cannot have drinks there anymore. But especially in the summer it is nice to have a drinks there. Who can we talk to 220 about that?

Jana (OSb): To who did they talk about that?

Ramon (OSb): I sent a survey to all the borrelcommittees, to evaluate, and also find out if there are problems during this first 1,5 year that we have the permit. I will ask this question about the location during the discussion of these results.   225

12   Second FocOS Group session – informative

Jana (OSb): On the 13th of December the next session (13:00-14:30) will take place, this can also be found on the website. This FocOS group will be about alumni. It is meant for yourselves to discuss together how to improve for example your alumni associations. If if only a few people show up, it might still be really useful.   230

13   Activism Recognition – informative

Daan (OSb): Hereby a reminder to let your former board members know that the deadline is soon (3 months after board year has ended)

Sjoerd (SU): We are also working on a project "edubadges" to get an online badge/certificate. Is that something you guys would like?   235

Constanze (Komma): Is there also for part time boards an activism recognition?

Sjoerd (SU): We are working on that.

Constanze (Komma): Yes, I am graduating soon so I would like to have it then.

Marit (ConcepT): How is the digital certificate different from just a scan?

240 Sjoerd (SU): We can talk about that after the GMA.

14 Upcoming topics

Jana (OSb): We will give an update about the influx of students, the SRC budget will be approved. Also, the Category 1-4 distribution will be discussed, documents for this will be send soon so please provide comments if you have them. Lastly, the KIOSK finances can be 245 presented.

15 Any other business

Jana (OSb): The SU and CvB are organising a breakfast session with Thom Palstra, you will still receive a mail about this.

Dagmar (Daedalus): I received complaints about the kick-in committee. They introduced 250 themselves in Dutch on Facebook, please do it in English. It is really important that this is done in English.

Sjoerd (SU): If you have your Facebook in Dutch, the organisation can choose to show it in Dutch instead of English. So what happens if you change your settings?

Dagmar (Daedalus): I do not know but just make sure it is always done in English regardless.

255 ### 16 To-do's and decisions resume

16.1 To-do's

Number	Action point	Deadline
GMA Oct.01	**All associations** Discuss with your boards and kick-in committees on how you plan to reach the goals that are set (page 4)	
GMA Oct.02	**OSb 2019-II** Contact the KIC about the correct tables (page 6)	

16.2 Decisions

- **GMA Oct.01** The minutes of the GMA of 31-10-2019 are approved. (page 3)

- **GMA Oct.02** The evaluation and finances of the GalOS are approved. (page 7)

260 - **GMA Oct.03** Jaimy de Kok, Peter van der Burgt, Mira Kumar, Layla Islam are discharged from the Prom committee (page 7)

17 Closing

Jana (OSb) closes this General Members Assembly. **14:39**

Begroting OS-SRC 2019

Association	Name	Date	Duration (wee	Participants	Country	Specification
Abacus	-	July	2	21	Russia	Received info
Alembic	-	July	3	16	Chili	Received info
ConcepT	-	October	3	27	Singapore, Australia	Received info
Daedalus	Briscon	June	3	27	America	Received info
Ideefiks	Ideefiks Europe 2020	July	1	20	Within Europe	Received info
Inter-Actief	Fuente	September/October	3.5	30	Argentina, Chili	Received info
Newton	-	Februari	3	30	Australia	Moved from 2019
Paradoks	Daejo	September	3	30	South Korea, Shanghai	Received info
Scintilla	-	October	3	25	Japan	Received info
Stress	-	Januari	2.5	26	Vietnam	Received info

	Budget								Actuals					
Association	Participants	Low rate	Mid rate	High rate	Subsidy Total	Subsidy part of Ufonds	Subsidy part remaining	Participants	Advance Invoice	Final Invoice	Subsidy total	Subsidy part of Ufonds	Subsidy part remaining
Abacus	21		21		€ 5,040.00	€ 1,890.00	€ 3,150.00						
Alembic	16			16	€ 5,760.00	€ 2,160.00	€ 3,600.00						
ConcepT	27			27	€ 9,720.00	€ 3,645.00	€ 6,075.00						
Daedalus	27			27	€ 9,720.00	€ 3,645.00	€ 6,075.00						
Ideefiks	20	20			€ 2,400.00	€ 900.00	€ 1,500.00						
Inter-Actief	30			30	€ 10,800.00	€ 4,050.00	€ 6,750.00						
Newton	30			30	€ 10,800.00	€ 4,050.00	€ 6,750.00						
Paradoks	30			30	€ 10,800.00	€ 4,050.00	€ 6,750.00						
Scintilla	25			25	€ 9,000.00	€ 3,375.00	€ 5,625.00						
Stress	26		26		€ 6,240.00	€ 2,340.00	€ 3,900.00						
Network drink					€ 145.00		€ 145.00						
Total	252	20	47	185	€ 80,425.00	€ 30,105.00	€ 50,320.00		€ 0.00	€ 0.00	€ 0.00	€ 0.00	€ 0.00

Subsidy per participant	Total p.p	Ufonds p.p.	Remaining
Low rate	€ 120.00	€ 45.00	€ 75.00
Mid rate	€ 240.00	€ 90.00	€ 150.00
High rate	€ 360.00	€ 135.00	€ 225.00

Subsidy Total	Budget	Result	Difference
From SC Buffer	€ 14,320.00		
Ufonds	€ 30,105.00		
SRC / Centrale Stimulering	€ 36,000.00		
Total	€ 80,425.00		

Ufonds

Budget:	Actuals:
	Invoiced € 30,105.00
	Actual
To invoice (Te factureren): € 30,105.00	To credit (Te crediteren op volgende

Begroting OS-SRC 2019

Begroting OS-SRC 2019

Begroting OS-SRC 2019

Category 1 – Large study trips

For category 1, the OS received five requests in total, all of which were first reviewed by the SRC, after being passed on to AC. The SRC decided that all requests were supported with enough proof to give them their grants, which the AC agreed with. Stress had a shorter study trip, which did take place outside of Europe, whereas Ideefiks stayed within Europe for their study trip. However, the SRC approved of their connection to category 1, which is why they receive grants, albeit less than the other trips. The proposed division can be found below.

Table 1: Proposed order of activism grants category 1, 2019

Association	Activity	Max. grants	Distributed grants
Alembic	'The Great Lakes' study trip	29	29
Arago	'Highway One' foreign trip	29	29
Astatine	SSA (Stichting Studiereis Astatine)	29	29
Stress	Study trip to Singapore	24	24
Ideefiks	Study trip to Dublin	19	19

Category 2 – Symposia

For category 2, we received ten requests for grants. These requests were reviewed to see, if they provide sufficient evidence. Some symposia have yet to take place, which is why they haven't delivered the required proof before December. The ABC did decide that they should receive the grants, as it is known that these events have yet to take place or have taken place. They also requested these activities on time last year, which is why they should at least be considered, in the opinion of the ABC. The proposed division of the symposium grants can be found below.

Table 2: Proposed order of activism grants category 2, 2019

Association	Activity	Max. grants	Distributed grants
Abacus	'Let's Get Moving'	8	5
Arago	Symposium 2019	8	5
Communiqué	Symposium	8	5
ConcepT	Symposia	8	5
Inter-Actief	'Omniscient'	8	5
	SNiC (Stichting Nationaal informatica Congres) – 'ResilIT'	8	5
Newton	'To Infinity and Beyond'	8	5
Paradoks	'Saving Seconds'	8	5
Sirius	Symposium	8	5
Stress	Stress Congress	8	5

Category 3 – Small study trips and other substantial study related activities

Category 3 deals with smaller study trips, which stay within Europe. Furthermore, business courses or other relevant study related activities are reviewed. For this category, we received twelve requests, which were considered small European study trips/activities based on the proof delivered. All of them provided sufficient evidence to the AC, which led to the proposed division as depicted below.

Table 3: Proposed order of activism grants category 3, 2019

Association	Activity	Max. grants	Distributed grants
Alembic	Small study trip – Dresden	4	1
Arago	'Going Under Ground State' Europe trip	4	1
Astatine	Bucom	4	1
Atlantis	Study Trip Atlantis	4	1
Communiqué	Europe Trip	4	1
ConcepT	Short Europe Trip	4	1
Daedalus	Milanocom	4	1
Dimensie	Career Week 2019	4	1
Inter-Actief	IBC (International Business Course) Dublin – 'Trinity'	4	1
Sirius	Europe trip: Prague	4	1
	Europe trip: Strasbourg	4	1
Stress	Europe trip to Prague	4	1

By dividing the total of 200 grants this way, the ABC was left with eight grants. Six of these will be given to Ideefiks as board grants, as they can't receive those any other way. The last two will be sent to the first requests of category 4. In case more grants will be left over, category will be filled according to the given priority list. In case, 210 or more grants will be allocated for the umbrella OS, first category 3 will be filled with each one additional grant per small study trip/ business course/ activity and after that category 4 requests will be granted their depicted share. Ideefiks as a board receives their grants regardless of the final number of grants OS receives.

Category 4 – Miscellaneous

When drafting the order for category 4, the AC tried to stay as close to the grants model as possible. This posed some problems, as a lot of grants for category 4 were requested for lustra. Lustra are not mentioned in the model once. Therefore, the AC looked at the activities of the lustrum and compared these to the three points named in the grants model.

When ranking the activities requested in category 4, the AC first divided the activities among the points mentioned in the grants model. Within these categories a ranking was then made based mostly on the amount of work a committee had to do to organize the activity. Activities that insufficient proof was provided for at first were put at the bottom of the list. Activities that did not fall under one of the three points mentioned in the model were rejected. Ideefiks was put at the top of the list because the board can't request grants in another way.

A special mention is the BMS symposium. Grants for the BMS symposium were requested by one association only. The AC is of the opinion that if the BMS symposium would get grants, the grants should come to the benefit of all members of the committee and not just the members of the association that requested the grant.

The proposed division can be found below. Grants will be divided over these activities from top to bottom, first granting each activity one grant. The amount of a grant will be determined on the basis of the new grants system.

Proposed order of activism grants category 4, 2019

Association	Activity	Max. Grants	Distributed Grants
Ideefiks	Board	6	6
Alembic	ONCS	2	2
Arago	PION	2	0
Sirius	Provincial States Debate	1	0
Inter-*Actief*	Pandora	1	0
ConcepT	Betonbrouwers	1	0
Communiqué	BMS Symposium	1	0
Paradoks	Barbouwbazen	1	0
Atlantis	Benefit dinner	1	0
Daedalus	WebCie	1	0
Stress	Lustrum	1	0
Proto	iOS application	1	0

Rejected activities

Association	Activity
Daedalus	Excursions
Dimensie	Psychologist for a Day
Paradoks	Streeplijst
Proto	Career Dinner
Proto	Minor Market
Scintilla	Voort Scraphead Challenge
Scintilla	Soldeer Course
Sirius	Expedition Sirius
Inter-*Actief*	Rially

Document 20191205
Projection Activism Grants 2020 category 1,2,3

Overview

	Projected grants
Category 1	275
Category 2	75
Category 3	57
	Total: 407

Category 1: SRC-trips

Association	**Activity**	**Projected grants**
Abacus	Study Tour	24
Alembic	Study Tour	29
ConcepT	Study Tour	29
Daedalus	Study Tour	29
Ideefiks	Study Tour	19
Inter-Actief	Study Tour	29
Newton	Study Tour	29
Paradoks	Study Tour	29
Scintilla	Study Tour	29
Stress	Study Tour	29
		Total: 275

Category 2: Symposia

Association	Activity	Projected grants
Abacus	Symposium	5
Alembic	Symposium	5
Arago	Symposium	5
Astatine	Symposium	5
Communiqué	Symposium	5
ConcepT	Symposium	5
Daedalus	Symposium	5
Dimensie	Symposium	5
Inter-Actief	Symposium	5
Newton	Symposium	5
Paradoks	Symposium	5
Proto	Symposium	5
Scintilla	Symposium	5
Sirius	Symposium	5
Stress	Symposium	5
		Total: 75

Category 3: Small trips and Business Courses

Association	Activity	Projected grants
Alembic	Small Europe trip	3
Arago	Small Europe trip	3
Astatine	Europe trip	5
Atlantis	Small Europe trip	3
Communiqué	Small Europe trip	3
ConcepT	Small Europe trip	3
ConcepT	Business Course	3
Daedalus	Business Course	3
Daedalus	Europe trip	5
Dimensie	Small Europe trip	3
Dimensie	Business Course	3
Inter-Actief	Business Course	3
Newton	Small Europe trip	3
Paradoks	Business Course	3
Sirius	Small Europe trip	3
Sirius	Small Europe trip	3
Stress	Small Europe trip	3
		Total: 55

Financial Evaluation KIOSK 2019

Description	Income	Total	Description	Cost	Total
Budget	750		*Banner*	100,90	
			Stands	462.47	
			Ice cream	182,50	
			Fruit and water	82,57	
		750			828.44

We were 78.44 euros over budget. This is because the stands were more expensive than expected. Next year the banner won't have to be bought so that saves us 100 euros, and it is not necessary to have an ice cream machine present.
It was not clear to the associations that having a bigger stand or electricity at the stand would cost more money, therefore we presume that a lot of associations applied for that 'just in case'. For the upcoming year, this should be made explicitly clear, and we will specify that associations have to pay themselves if they want electricity (as it is 15 euros per association). Because of the limited costs, focus should be put on taking care of the study associations (so providing water and fruit).

Furthermore, the amount of money we were charged for is different than the amount of money we are aware we should pay. See the overview below for more clarification. We are currently still in contact with both KIC 19 and KIC 20 so we can figure out where the missing 30 euros is coming from. However, this takes some time and we cannot say when we will find the answer to this.

	Number of associations:		Costs per association:	Total costs:
Present:	17		15.13	257.21
Bigger stand:	2		10	20
Electricity:	5		15	75
		Total:		352.21
		Paid:		382.21