Date, time: 27th of October 2016, 14:00

Location: Carré 2N



# List of attendance

Association	Name
OSb	Sander Bakkum (chairman)
	Jasper Klomp (secretary)
	Willemijn Luijten
C.T.S.G Alembic	Ruben Uineken
	Jasper Stook
ConcepT	Daan Kampherbeek
Dimensie	Christian Orriëns
	Daphne Poorthuis
E.T.S.V. Scintilla	Guus Frijters
I.C.T.S.V. Inter-Actief	Iris Heerlien
S.A. Atlantis	Demi Vonk
S.A. Proto	Xadya van Bruxvoort
S.V.A.T Astatine	Daphne van Dijken
S.V. Arago	Thomas van der Meer
S.V. Communiqué	Tom Speek
	Bart Smulders
S.V. Onwijs	Rik de Jong
S.V. Paradoks	Joost Herijgers
Sirius	Martijn Koers
Student Union	Annelotte Derkink
Stress	Steven Wolff
UReka	Wouter Rietveld
W.S.G. Abacus	Lotte Weedage
W.S.G. Isaac Newton	Thijs Masmeijer
	Menno van den Berg
W.T.S Ideefiks	Jeroen Wagenaar

Date, time: 27th of October 2016, 14:00

Location: Carré 2N

#### 1. Opening

Sander opens the GMA at 14:04.

### 2. Setting agenda

The agenda is approved.

#### 3. Announcements

### (a) OS-board

- The OS is still looking for committee members for the COP. Send an e-mail to the OS if you are interested.
- The deadline for the category 1, 2 and 3 grants of 2016, as well as the inventarisation of 2017, is at the 1st of November.
- Please let the SRC know before the 1st of November if your association will have a study tour in 2017.
- Last month, the board had a coffee date with 8 associations. The other 10 will be planned.
- Unite, the umbrella for international associations, is looking for a board. If you have any interested members, they can take a look at the website of Unite. If someone is going to do this, please let Gloria know.
- A list is passed, at which you can fill in a contact person per association for the grants. This person will have to fill in the grants at a system of the university.

## (b) **OS-committees**

(c) Study associations

## (d) Student Union

- The Student Union has been busy with the system in which you don't have to pay your college fee if you do a board year. In the model with activism grants we have right now, there already goes a lot of money to activism when you compare it to other Dutch universities. Also, FOBOS is a pretty flexible model. The CvB does not want to invest any money, so the model will not change. Maybe there will be a new change if the new rector is installed.
- There is contact with Robert Ree about the insurances. There will follow an update about the board liability insurances and the overview of the insurances of the university.
- Coming month, there will be a workshop month at the SU. The workshops are also on the FB of the SU, so you can share it with your members.
- Plan your board training as soon as possible.
- There has been a case that the university found out that a BHV'er drank alcohol during his shift. Due to this, the security will going to be monitoring this better. Please be sure that your BHV'ers do not do this, since this can also influence the negotiations with the municipality about the alcohol regulations.

### (e) University council parties

- In two weeks, there will be another meeting at which you can join. The documents will be available at the website of the university
- UReka wants a participation council (Dutch: medezeggenschapsraad) at faculty level. This can be useful if al faculties agree on something, so that not every faculty has to discuss it their self.
- The UReka is busy with the quality of teachers regarding English. If you have a lot of examples about this, please contact Wouter.
- UReka is looking, together with DAS, if the 15EC regulations is legal.
- UReka wants more contact with students. They will come by at drinks of associations, to talk with their members. If you don't want them to be there, just send them away. For the associations without a drink location, they are still having a look at how they want to have contact with them.



Date, time: 27th of October 2016, 14:00

Location: Carré 2N

## (f) Other attendants

Other attenda -

#### 4. Minutes

(a) Minutes GMA 27th of September 2016 The minutes of the GMA of the 27th of September 2016 are approved.

## (b) To do list

- Sander: Update the policy plan with the suggested adjustments Done.
- Sander: Look up regulations regarding membership fees.

  Done. The maximum for primary members is 10 Euro, for secondary members it is 5 Euro. Sander will still search for the minimum amount.
- Sander: Look up the white and black list for activities and send it around.

  Sander found a really old and messy one. He will contact the Evenementenbureau if they have a better one.
- OSb: Find out if a safety specialist can have a look at the drink locations.

  In progress. Jasper contacted the FB and someone from HR-VGM, but they could not do anything for us. Jasper will look further.

## 5. Division of incidental activism grants in 2016 and 2017

(Document: 20161001)

- Sander: There is a mistake in the documents. There is stated boards get 35 grants per year, but this is with a maximum of 7 per board member. Also, there is a chance that we will get 30 extra grants for 2016, but this is not sure yet.
- Newton: Last year, we decided to give more grants to ourselves, but is this okay? Since now, committees get less grants.
  - Sander: Category 1 to 3 grants are meant to make people do a committee. Because not a lot of associations had problems with finding members for those committees, it was decided they could get a little less.

Willemijn: This is also done because in the past, there was not an equal amount of grants per board.

ConcepT: But is this the problem?

Annelotte: Partially. In total, due to the new model, the study associations got relatively more than in the past.

- Proto: Isn't is possible to combine category 3 and 4 and make a ranking out of this? Because some activities of category 4 would fit better in category 3.
  - Scintilla: For example a lustrum can also has a academic value.
  - Jens: The academic part of a lustrum is most of the time a symposium, which is already in category 2.
  - ConcepT: Also, combining category 3 and 4 will only make it harder to get a ranking.
- Communiqué : Isn't it possible to divide the grants per academic year, since this will make things a lot easier with activities which are in multiple calender years.
  - Wouter: This is not possible, since the UT budget is per calender year.
- Ideefiks: In the scenario's that are given, there will be no grants for category 4. Due to that, both we and Onwijs will not get any grants, since we also are not in FOBOS.
  - Jasper: The GMA can always decide to divide grants outside of the model we use.
  - The GMA does not have any big objections for giving both Ideefiks and Onwijs two grants per board for sure. The ABC will take this with them for the final division, which will be presented at the GMA in November.
- Paradoks: The SIPA, a committee together with Sirius, really costs a lot of time and is very important for both Sirius and Paradoks. Can't we also give them two grants for sure?

  Jens: Officially, SIPA is not finished yet, which is one of the restrictions in the current grant model.





3

Date, time: 27th of October 2016, 14:00

Location: Carré 2N

Annelotte: Also, last year SIPA also got some grants.

There is a voting at the GMA. The statement is as follows: SIPA gets two activism grants for

For: 4 Against: 12

No one abstinences or votes blank. The statement is not adopted.

• Jens: Isn't it also necessary to look further in the future and maybe adjust the division model for this?

Sander: We will do this later this year, since the grants over 2016 have a higher priority at the moment.

• ConcepT: We are discussing about pushing grants from category 3 to 4, but we can also give most of them to category 2. Those are really big committees costing a lot of time. Newton: This also gives the committee members a relevant payment, not only a few euros per committee member.

• Astatine: Why are you borrowing grants from next year? This will only pass the problem to the future.

Sander: We do this, because in 2017 there are less big study tours. So we spread the problem over the both 2016 and 2017.

• Sander: It is best to take your arguments to the ABC, so they can make a proposal for the next GMA. Then, there can also be discussed about this. Also, we want to know which of the suggested options in the presented document you do prefer.

Category 3 and 4 no grants: 9 Category 3 and 4 a few grants: 7

### 6. Proposal ABC-committee concerning grants category 4

(Document: 20161002)

- Sander: Since Onwijs and Ideefiks will probably already get grants, we will remove them from the presented document. The order in the proposal is based on the subcategories of category 4, with a few changes which seemed logical at the side of the ABC.
- ConcepT: Why are the Betonbrouwers at the last place?

  Jens: The Betonbrouwers are mostly a 'klusgroep' for a small part of the students of ConcepT.

  Also, category 4 is for incidental activities, not activities which are performed every year again.

  ConcepT: But the Betonbrouwers are really important to ConcepT. They are profiling for ConcepT, since they are known by a lot of companies and sector associations.
- Ideefiks: Why is a solder workshop higher in the list than company activities? Sander: This is due to the fact that a solder workshop is a study related activity, which gives you a relevant skill which is not in the standard curriculum.
- Inter-Actief: Why is the IMCO of Astatine in the list? Category 4 is for unique committees and probably a lot of associations have a committee for their international members. Multiple associations agree on this.
- Proto: Why is the LasCie in the list? Since they are also just doing crafts.

  Newton: The LasCie is really profiling. They are not only making crafts for fun. The crafts are used at for example the Open Days and the Kick-In, since they are really awesome. So it is not just sometimes doing crafts for fun.
- Inter-Actief: What makes the Photoshop course of Daedalus so special? Newton: It is a course which extends on important knowledge for a industrial designer, which is not given in the standard curriculum. It also takes six evenings.

Sander suspends the GMA at 15:10. Sander resumes the GMA at 15:25

• The BCC has adjusted the proposal during the break. The new proposal is at the screen.





Date, time: 27th of October 2016, 14:00

Location: Carré 2N

• Alembic: Why is the SIPA still in? We voted about this?

The is some confusion about the voting, which was earlier on. There is a new voting. The statement is as follows: The SIPA should be taken out of the proposal for the category 4 grants.

For: 10 Against: 3 Blank: 2 Abstinence: 2

The statement is adopted.

• Sander: We will now vote about the proposal, which is on the screen. The statement is as follows: The proposal for the order of the category 4 activism grants should be adopted.

For: 16 Against: 1

No one votes blank or abstinences. The statement, and so the proposal, is adopted.

### 7. **A.O.B**

- Stress: Back in 2005, there was a shared agenda between all study associations. Should we re-introduce this, so that for example there are not 3 parties planned at the same evening? The associations would like a shared agenda. The OS will fix this. AP OSb: Fix a shared agenda for all study associations.
- Astine: Er komt een stofzuiger over een zandweg, zand weg.

### 8. After GMA drink preparations

Inter-Actief: We will leave at half past 5 at the Koelvijver. We will eat at the Sensazia from 6 until 9 and will go bowling until 11.

### 9. To do list

- Sander: Look up regulations regarding membership fees.
- Sander: Look up the white and black list for activities and send it around.
- OSb: Find out if a safety specialist can have a look at the drink locations.
- OSb: Fix a shared agenda for all study associations.

### 10. Closing

Sander closes the GMA at 15:34.



